Where everybody matters

Wiltshire Council

COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 23 FEBRUARY 2010 AT COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNTY HALL, TROWBRIDGE.

Present:

Cllr Desna Allen, Cllr Richard Beattie, Cllr Chuck Berry, Cllr John Brady, Cllr Richard Britton, Cllr Philip Brown, Cllr Rosemary Brown, Cllr Allison Bucknell, Cllr Jane Burton, Cllr Trevor Carbin, Cllr Chris Caswill, Cllr Ernie Clark, Cllr Richard Clewer, Cllr Christopher Cochrane, Cllr Peter Colmer, Cllr Linda Conley, Cllr Mark Connolly, Cllr Christine Crisp, Cllr Michael Cuthbert-Murray, Cllr Brian Dalton, Cllr Paul Darby, Cllr Andrew Davis, Cllr Peter Davis, Cllr Tony Deane, Cllr Christopher Devine, Cllr Bill Douglas, Cllr Mary Douglas, Cllr Peter Doyle, Cllr Rod Eaton, Cllr Peter Fuller, Cllr Richard Gamble, Cllr Jose Green, Cllr Howard Greenman, Cllr Mark Griffiths, Cllr Mollie Groom, Cllr Lionel Grundy OBE, Cllr Brigadier Robert Hall (Chairman), Cllr Mike Hewitt, Cllr Alan Hill, Cllr Charles Howard, Cllr J Hubbard, Cllr Keith Humphries, Cllr Peter Hutton, Cllr Tom James MBE, Cllr George Jeans, Cllr David Jenkins, Cllr Julian Johnson, Cllr Simon Killane, Cllr John Knight, Cllr Jerry Kunkler, Cllr Jacqui Lay, Cllr Alan Macrae, Cllr Howard Marshall, Cllr Laura Mayes, Cllr Ian McLennan, Cllr Jemima Milton, Cllr Francis Morland, Cllr Christopher Newbury, Cllr John Noeken, Cllr Stephen Oldrieve, Cllr Helen Osborn, Cllr Jeff Osborn, Cllr Mark Packard, Cllr Graham Payne, Cllr Stephen Petty, Philipe, Cllr Leo Randall, Cllr Fleur de Rhe-Philipe, Cllr Pip Ridout, Cllr Bill Roberts, Cllr Ricky Rogers, Cllr Judy Rooke, Cllr Paul Sample, Cllr Jane Scott OBE, Cllr Jonathon Seed, Cllr John Smale, Cllr Toby Sturgis, Cllr John Thomson, Cllr Dick Tonge, Cllr Anthony Trotman, Cllr Bridget Wayman, Cllr Ian West, Cllr Fred Westmoreland, Cllr Stuart Wheeler, Cllr Roy While, Cllr Christopher Williams and Cllr Graham Wright

1. Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs Carter, Dow, Fogg, Hawker, Hewson, Chris Humphries, Moss, Ody, Parker, Phillips, Soden and Swabey.

2. Minutes of Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 1 December 2009 were presented.

Resolved:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 1 December 2009 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

3. **Declarations of Interest**

The Chairman drew Councillors' attention to the letter of advice from the Monitoring Officer concerning possible interests in the budget and setting of the council tax. Advice had also been given on declaring interests in respect of council garage tenancies when it came to considering the setting of garage as part of determining the housing revenue account.

Cllr Brian Dalton declared a personal and prejudicial interest in respect of the housing revenue account in so far as the setting of garage rents was concerned. Cllr Dalton held a garage tenancies and left the meeting during consideration of that element of the budget.

During discussion of notice of motion no. 8 (minute no. 6 refers), Cllr Rook declared a personal interest in the item in so far as it related to Redland Park by virtue of it being close to where she lived and walked her dog.

Cllr Lay declared a personal interest in this matter by virtue of being a member of the local Community Area Partnership (minute no. 16 (b) refers).

4. Announcements by the Chairman

(a) <u>Andrew Kerr – New Chief Executive</u>

On behalf of the Council, the Chairman welcomed Andrew Kerr to this his first meeting of Council.

The Chairman commented that he was confident that Mr Kerr would find his new role challenging as well as interesting and rewarding and looked forward to working with him.

(b) <u>New Years Honours List</u>

The Chairman was delighted to announce that a number of Wiltshire residents had received national recognition in the New Years Honours list, details of which he read out to the Council. The Chairman congratulated them all noting that many had made a significant contribution to communities within the County.

The Chairman particularly congratulated Cllr Jane Scott, Leader of the Council who was made OBE. The Chairman commented that she had given sterling service to Local Government over many years and had worked remarkably hard to bring about the successful establishment of Wiltshire Council. This was a thoroughly well-deserved national recognition of her achievements.

(c) Royal Visit – St John School and Community College, Marlborough

The Chairman explained that the Vice-Chairman, Councillor Bill Moss' absence was due to the fact he was standing in for him at the formal opening by Her Royal Highness the Duchess of Cornwall of the new buildings at St John School and Community College in Marlborough.

Cllr Chris Humphries was also attending the same function as was Cllr Fogg in his capacity as Mayor of Marlborough.

The Chairman explained that the school now had excellent facilities and the Head Teacher, Dr Patrick Hazlewood and his staff were to be congratulated on their achievement. On behalf of the Council he wished the school every success for the future.

(d) <u>Wootton Bassett Repatriations</u>

The Chairman remarked that all Councillors would be familiar with the dedication with which the people of Wootton Bassett had marked the repatriation of fallen soldiers when each cortege passed through the Town. He reported that this had now received national recognition.

In December 2009, the Mayor and some other citizens of Wootton Bassett responsible for organising the events surrounding each repatriation were invited to visit 10 Downing Street and meet the Prime Minister. They were also invited to the Military Awards – known as 'The Millies" and were presented with an award for 'support to the troops' by HRH Prince William.

Further recognition was given to the Town when their Royal Highnesses the Prince of Wales and Duchess of Cornwall visited Wootton Bassett in January 2010, when the Prince personally thanked the townspeople for all that they did to honour the fallen soldiers on behalf of the people of this Country.

(e) <u>George Batten, Director of Transport, Environment and Leisure</u>

During the course of the meeting, the Chairman reported that this would be the last Council meeting attended by George Batten who was retiring after 30 years service to the Council. The Chairman paid tribute to George's hard work and dedication. George was considered to be very highly thought of across the County and very popular with both staff and Councillors. On behalf of Council, the Chairman wished George good luck in his retirement.

5. Petition - Preferred Option for Chippenham Under Wiltshire 2026 Plan

The Chairman reported receipt of two petitions on the same issue, the Preferred Option for Chippenham under the Wiltshire 2026 Plan.

The first petition organised by John Palmer, Peter Humphrey and Kim Stuckey was presented by John Palmer, details of which were presented. Mr Palmer addressed Council on his petition which urged the Council to reconsider its preferred option for Chippenham. He added that if the Council insisted on making provision for housing in Chippenham, it should be in the South of the Town where the road infrastructure already existed and the environmental impact would be lessened.

The second petition was presented by Elizabeth Kennedy with specific reference to the Birds Marsh area. The petition urged the Council to retain the open space for the enjoyment and preservation of wildlife.

There were 2,009 signatories to the petitions collectively.

Cllr Brady, Cabinet member for Economic Development, Planning and Housing responded to the petitions. He explained that the 2026 Plan was in the early stages of examining the responses to the consultation. He assured the petitioners that their representations would be taken seriously and would be considered as part of the responses to the consultation.

Resolved:

That the petition be received and noted referred to Cllr Brady, Cabinet member for Economic Development, Planning and Housing to consider as part of the consultation responses to the 2026 Plan.

6. <u>Notice of Motion No. 8 - Wiltshire 2026 Plan - Chippenham - From</u> <u>Councillors Mark Packard and Bill Douglas</u>

Declaration of interest

During the course of discussion on this item, Cllr Rooke declared a personal interest in this item in so far as it related to Rowden Park in the Redcliffe Homes proposal by virtue of it being close to where she lived and walked her dog.

For the benefit of the petitioners referred to in minute no. 5 above, with Council's agreement, the Chairman varied the order of the agenda to deal with this notice of motion at this point in the meeting.

The Chairman reported receipt of the following notice of motion moved and seconded by Cllrs Packard and Bill Douglas respectively:

"This Council notes the consultation which has taken place on the 2026 Core Strategy for Chippenham and that these plans are currently in the process of further review. As the success of the Core Strategy depends on the integration of the increased population and the funding of the road infrastructures, this Council requests the Executive rescind the preferred option status which proposes the housing development to the North and East of Chippenham, on the grounds that:

- 1) The costing and sourcing of funding for the road infrastructure need further investigation.
- 2) The Green-belt land and valleys to the East and North of Chippenham will be devastated and access to the Birds marsh area will be cut off to the townspeople.
- 3) Chippenham Vision, an independent organisation established by the Council, have also voiced their serious concerns with regard to this preferred option.
- 4) There are other options in the consultation document which have not been sufficiently considered.
- 5) No consideration has been given to a geographically distributed option which would enable a gradual development and integration of the increased population over a period of time.
- 6) Sustaining the preferred option gives one set of developers an unfair prejudicial advantage.

Council is requested to rescind the preferred option until sufficient evidence has been gathered to make a considered decision".

Cllr Parker spoke to the motion. The Chairman moved that the motion be debated and this was duly seconded and on being put to the vote, it was

Resolved:

That notice of motion no. 8 be debated.

The Chairman called on Cllr Brady to open the debate as the relevant Cabinet member before inviting group leaders and then opening the debate to other Councillors.

Cllr Brady referred to his previously circulated statement in which he responded to each of the points raised in the above motion. In the discussion which ensued, the following amendment was proposed by Cllr Crisp and seconded by Cllr Hutton:

'That this council notes that a decision has not been made on any option for housing development in the North and East of Chippenham. When such a decision is required, all concerns expressed by residents, now, and in future consultations, will be taken into account.

The Council also notes that if a Conservative government is elected this year, it is likely that the Regional Spatial Strategy will be abolished and further powers given to local councils. The council expects such powers will enable local authorities, rather than central government, to determine housing needs in their areas and their location. This Council recognises that no decisions will be made as to the strategic housing sites in and around Chippenham until after the General Election'

Cllr Caswill raised a point of order in which he deemed the amendment should be ruled out of order as he considered it would have the effect of negating the original motion. On receiving legal advice, the Chairman ruled that the amendment would not negate the original motion and therefore confirmed that he had accepted the amendment.

A lengthy discussion ensued on the amendment. On being put to the vote, the amendment was CARRIED.

Recorded vote

A recorded vote having been called for by the requisite number of Councillors, the voting on the amendment was recorded as follows:

For the amendment (57 votes)

Cllrs Beattie, Berry, Brady, Britton, Philip Brown, Bucknell, Burton, Clewer, Cochrane, Conley, Connolly, Crisp, Andrew Davis, Peter Davis, De Rhe-Philipe, Deane, Devine, Mary Douglas, Doyle, Eaton, Fuller, Gamble, Green, Greenman, Griffiths, Groom, Grundy, Hall, Hewitt, Hill, Howard, Keith Humphries, Hutton, James, Johnson, Kunkler, Lay, Macrae, Mayes, Milton, Noeken, Payne, Randall, Ridout, Roberts, Scott, Seed, Smale, Sturgis, Thomson, Tonge, Trotman, Wayman, Westmoreland, Wheeler, While, and Williams.

Against the amendment (29 votes)

Cllrs Allen, Rosemary Brown, Carbin, Caswill, Clark, Colmer, Cuthbert-Murray, Dalton, Darby, Bill Douglas, Hubbard, Jeans, Jenkins, Killane, Knight, Marshall, McLennan, Morland, Newbury, Oldrieve, Helen Osborn, Jeff Osborn, Packard, Petty, Rogers, Rooke, Sample, West and Wright.

Abstentions (Nil)

The amendment became the substantive motion. An amendment was proposed by Cllr Caswill seconded by Cllr Hubbard amending the first line of the now substantive motion to read as follows:

'This Council regrets that a decision has been made to approve an option for housing development......'

On being put to the vote, the amendment was LOST.

The substantive motion was put to the vote and CARRIED and it was therefore

Resolved:

That the following motion be adopted:

That this council notes that a decision has not been made on any option for housing development in the North and East of Chippenham. When such a decision is required, all concerns expressed by residents, now, and in future consultations, will be taken into account.

The Council also notes that if a Conservative government is elected this year, it is likely that the Regional Spatial Strategy will be abolished and further powers given to local councils. The council expects such powers will enable local authorities, rather than central government, to determine housing needs in their areas and their location.

This Council recognises that no decisions will be made as to the strategic housing sites in and around Chippenham until after the General Election.

7. <u>Public Participation</u>

The Chairman reported that there had been no requests for public participation other than the petitions referred to at minute no. 5 above.

8. Budget 2010/11

Declaration of Interest

Cllr Dalton declared a personal and prejudicial interest in this item in so far as it related to the setting of garage rents as referred to in the proposals concerning the Housing Revenue Account by virtue of the fact that he held a garage tenancy. Cllr Dalton left the meeting during consideration of that element of the budget.

The Chairman explained how he intended to manage the debate on the budget. The Chairman reminded Councillors of the various budget papers which had been previously circulated. In particular, he referred to the various budget reports circulated with the Cabinet agenda dated 11 February 2010 and the recommendations from that meeting, the proposed Council tax resolution for 2010/11, the report from the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee dated 16 February 2010 and further information as requested by that Committee. The Chairman explained the order in which he would invite Councillors to speak on the budget.

The Chairman invited Cllr Scott, Leader of the Council to present her budget speech. The Leader emphasised that in her opinion, the budget proposed would protect front line services, give a below inflation tax rise, would put more resources to local communities' stated priorities, make more efficiencies and would save to invest in further service improvements in the future despite the economic situation. The Leader moved the recommendations on the budget from Cabinet's meeting dated 11 February 2010 to include the following additional proposals:

- That the under spends in the Area Board grants budget be rolled forward from 2009/10 to 2010/11 and
- £100,000 from the headroom be allocated to the highways maintenance budget and £100,000 be allocated to youth projects to be delivered through Area Boards.

The motion was seconded by Cllr Thomson.

The Chairman then invited Cllr Jeff Osborn, Chairman of the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee to present the report of his Committee.

Each Group Leader was invited to contribute to the debate on the Budget before opening the debate to other Councillors.

Cllr Rogers noted the high percentage of those who had paid their Council Tax and requested his appreciation to those people be recorded.

Cllr Carbin proposed an amendment, details of which were tabled which was duly seconded by Cllr Jeff Osborn and responded to by the Leader. A discussion ensued on the amendment.

At this point, the meeting was adjourned for lunch and to allow the Chief Finance Officer in his capacity as S.151 Officer to examine the amendment put forward.

MEETING ADJOURNED

On reconvening the meeting, the Chairman invited the Chief Finance Officer to give his professional opinion on the amendment. The Chief Finance Officer explained his concerns over the robustness of the proposals put forward for the reasons he outlined to the meeting.

Cllr Jeff Osborn explained that he took the Chief Finance Officer's advice on board and in the circumstances although he stood by the aspirations of the amendment, withdrew it.

A detailed discussion ensued on the various elements of the budget proposals with the Leader and her Cabinet responding to the points raised.

Councillors requested that a letter be circulated to all staff from Chairman of Council on behalf of Council thanking them for their continued hard work.

Following detailed discussion, it was

Resolved:

To approve the recommendations of Cabinet dated 11 February 2010 as follows with the addition of (1) (c) - (e) below:

- (1) <u>Revenue Budget Proposals for 2010/11</u>
 - a. That the proposed budget detailed in Appendix 2 (pages 34 35 of Cabinet agenda), including the growth items Appendix 4 (page 38) and unavoidable commitments in Appendix 5 (pages 39 41), efficiency measures in Appendix 6 (pages 42 45) and Fees and Charges Income in Appendix 7 (pages 46 47) be approved;
 - b. A Council Tax increase of 2.3% (average) with a Band D equivalent of £1,222.43 be approved;
 - c. That the under spends in the Area Board grants budget be rolled forward from 2009/10 to 2010/11;
 - d. That £100,000 from the identified headroom be allocated to the highways maintenance budget and £100,000 to youth projects delivered through Area Boards and
 - e. That the Leader of Council write to HM Treasury, copied to Ministers to press for an explanation of why this authority continued to be poorly funded in comparison to other authorities.

(2) <u>Capital Programme Proposals 2010/11 to 2012/13</u>

a That the Wiltshire Council Capital Programme for 2010-11 to 2012-13 as shown in Appendix B (page 62) be approved.

b. The use of additional borrowing of £20.617 million in 2010-11 and £53.164 million over the three years be approved.

(3) <u>Fees and Charges</u>

The fees and charges as included in the revenue budget for 2010/11 (pages 72 - 73) be approved.

- (4) <u>Treasury Management Strategy</u>
 - a. The revised CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management in the Public Services be adopted;

- b. The Prudential and Treasury Indicators (Appendix A pages 82 87) be adopted,
- c. The Annual Investment Strategy (Appendix B pages 88 93) be adopted;
- d. Authority be delegated to the Chief Finance Officer to vary the amount of borrowing and other long term liabilities within both the Treasury Indicators for the Authorised Limit and the Operational Boundary;
- e. The Chief Finance Officer be authorised to agree the restructuring of existing long-term loans where savings are achievable or to enhance the long term portfolio;
- f. Agreement be given that short term cash surpluses and deficits continue to be managed through temporary loans and deposits; and
- g. Agreement be given that any long term surplus cash balances not required to cover borrowing are placed in authorised money-market funds, particularly where this is more cost effective than short term deposits and delegate to the Chief Finance Officer the authority to select such funds.

(5) Housing Revenue Account Budget and Rent Setting 2010/11

a. The HRA Budget for revised 2009/2010 (revised) and 2010/2011 (original) be approved.

b. The increase for dwelling rents in accordance with rent restructuring be approved.

c. The level of increase for garage rents at zero percent be approved.

d. The level of increase for service charges at a maximum of RPI and utility recharges as a maximum £1 per week be approved.

Council Tax Resolution

(1) That the following amounts for the year 2010-11 in accordance with The Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (Amendment) Regulations 2003 made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 ("the Act") be approved:

- a) 178,139.4 being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with The Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (Amendment) Regulations 2003, as its council tax base for the year;
- b) <u>Part of the Council's Area (Council Tax Base for each parish)</u>
- (2) That it be noted the revenue and capital budget proposals for 2010-11 are as approved elsewhere on these minutes (1) & (2) above refer.
- (3) That the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2010-11 in accordance with sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government and Finance Act 1992:
 - a) £885,825,288 (Gross Revenue Expenditure including parish precepts) being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2) (a) to (e) of the Act;
 - b) £552,457,964 (Revenue Income) being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3) (a) to (c) of the Act;
 - c) £333,367,324 (Net Revenue Expenditure including parish precepts) Being the amount by which the aggregate at 10(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 10(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year;
 - d) £103,244,270 (Total of Revenue Support Grant, share of National Non Domestic Rating Pool and Estimated Balance on the Collection Fund to be refunded to council tax payers) being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its general fund in respect of redistributed non-domestic rates and revenue support grant and increased by the amount of any sum which the Council estimates will be transferred from its collection fund to its general fund pursuant to the Collection Fund (Community Charges) (England) Directions 1994 under section 98(4) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988;
 - £1,291.81 (Wiltshire Council Band D tax plus average parish councils Band D Tax) being the amount at 10(c) above less the amount at 10(d) above, all divided by the amount at 8(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year as shown below:

Band A £	Band B £	Band C £	Band D £	Band E £	Band F £	Band G £	Band H £
861.20	1004.74	1148.27	1291.81	1578.88	1865.95	2153.01	2583.62

- f) £12,360,288 (Aggregate of parish and town council precepts) being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in section 34(1) of the Act;
- g) £1,222.43 (Band D tax for Wiltshire Council purposes only) being the amount at 10(e) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 10(f) above by the amount at 8(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates as shown below:

Band A £	Band B £	Band C £	Band D £	Band E £	Band F £	Band G £	Band H £
814.95	950.78	1086.6	1222.43	1494.08	1765.73	2037.38	2444.86

h) <u>Part of the Council's Area (List of each Parish's Council Band</u> D tax)

That the table at Appendix 1 shows the amounts relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area mentioned above divided in each case by the amount at 8(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate;

(4). That it be noted that for the year 2010-11 the Wiltshire Police Authority has stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Authority, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
105.18	122.71	140.24	157.77	192.83	227.89	262.95	315.54

(5). That it be noted that for the year 2010-11 the Wiltshire & Swindon Fire Authority has stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the

Authority, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 and Section 83 of the Local Government Act 2003, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
41.59	48.52	55.45	62.38	76.24	90.10	103.97	124.76

(6). That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 10(h) 11 and 12 above, the Council, in accordance with section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the amounts shown in the table at Appendix 1 as the amounts of council tax for the year 2010-11 for each of the categories of dwellings shown in the table:-

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u> (List of total tax figures for all bands of property for all parishes within Wiltshire – including Parish, Wiltshire Council, Wiltshire Police Authority and Wiltshire and Swindon Fire Authority elements).

Appendix 1 to the Minutes - Wiltshire Council Tax Levy 2010-11 By Parish

9. **Statement of Community Involvement**

Councillor Brady, Cabinet member for Economic Development, Planning and Housing presented the proposed Statement of Community Involvement for Council's approval as recommended by Cabinet at its meeting on 11 February 2010. In proposing the document, Cllr Brady made an alteration at paragraph 5.14 to state '14 days' instead of '21 days'.

The report considered by Cabinet in arriving at its recommendation was presented as background information.

During discussion of this item, a number of points were made which were taken on board by Cllr Brady.

Resolved:

- (a) That the Statement of Community Involvement as presented be adopted subject to the following amendments:
 - paragraph 5.14 '21 days' be changed to '14 days';
 - to include reference to the involvement of Area Boards in developing planning policy in the flowchart at diagram 2;

- under 'How can I comment on a planning application?' from paragraph 5.10 – to include reference to members of the public being able to express views to their local division member on Wiltshire Council and the Councillors' ability to call in an application.
- (b) The document be reviewed when next updated.
- (c) That a suggestion be made to the relevant Scrutiny Select Committee to look at how the Statement of Community Involvement could be improved when next updated.

10. Councillors' Questions

The Chairman reported receipt of questions from Cllrs Helen Osborn, Jeff Osborn, Clark, Carbin, Oldrieve, Packard, Caswill and Dalton.

Details of the questions and responses given were tabled at the meeting and are attached as **Appendix 2** to these minutes.

Supplementary questions were made in some cases summarised as follows:

Cllr Helen Osborn – youth development as referred to in Briefing Note No. 23 – sought an assurance that Area Boards would be involved in the process and considered that it would have been prudent to consult town councils and local members before a decision is made.

Cllr Gundy confirmed that the whole process could be considered by Area Boards.

Cllr Jeff Osborn – Youth Development as referred to in Briefing Note No. 23 – Asked the Leader if she was happy that a Member Briefing Note was the correct way for the new provisions to be dealt with given that it was in his opinion, a contentious issue.

Leader confirmed that if Area Boards were particularly unhappy with the changes, the Community Area Managers would be happy to discuss it with them. Whilst she appreciated there would be winners and losers, the changes were made to rationalise youth provision services across the County. The Briefing Note was issued as a mechanism to inform Councillors.

Cllr Jeff Osborn – Youth Development and consideration by Children's Services Scrutiny Select Committee in the Autumn – asked whether consideration in the Autumn would not be too late.

In the absence of Cllr Soden, Chairman of the Children's Services Scrutiny Select Committee, the Leader pointed out that it was up to that Committee on managing when it would consider this or any other item of business.

Cllr Clark – housing services as referred to in the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) – asked about the steps being taken to overcome concerns raised by the CAA.

Cllr Brady – explained that the Council's Housing Strategy was currently being prepared. The Council was currently in the process of recruiting an Empty Homes Officer to overcome some of the concerns raised by the CAA and would review other resources needed within housing. Cllr Brady and Cllr Greenman as Portfolio Holder for Housing were looking at the issue of voids with a view to improving the situation and reducing the number of void properties.

Cllr Clark – SAP – sought information on the gross cost to date of SAP.

Cllr de Rhe Philipe undertook to provide Cllr Clark with a written response.

Cllr Carbin – deadline for submission of questions – Cllr Carbin suggested that the deadline for submission of questions by Councillors should be brought forward to allow for the preparation of responses and their circulation to Councillors in a timely manner.

Cllr Oldrieve – tendering for Children's Centre provision – asked for reconsideration of the support available to schools on the tendering process.

Cllr Grundy undertook to look at how best the Council could provide the relevant expertise to schools and that he would speak with Cllr Oldrieve following the meeting.

Cllr Packard - Query on Workplace Transformation figures - reference made to \pounds 17m but he could only find reference to \pounds 11.7 m in page 61 of cabinet agenda for the meeting dated 11 February 2010.

Cllr de Rhe Philipe undertook to provide Cllr Packard with a written response.

Cllr Packard – Cost of borrowing on the Workplace Transformation project – asked how much borrowing was planned for 2010/11.

Cllr de Rhe Philipe explained that the annual borrowing cost stood at £4m which had been included within the costs.

Cllr Caswill – number of professionally qualified environmental health officers – sought clarification on whether the number of posts quoted for 2010/11 related to before or after the reduction of 3 posts from the budget.

Cllr Keith Humphries confirmed that the numbers quoted took into account the reduction in posts.

Cllr Caswill – parking restrictions in Ivy Road, Chippenham – Asked the Cabinet member if he would accept that there was a need to look at Cocklebury Road given that it was a main thoroughfare and that there was a role for Councillors to give their views as part of the study.

Cllr Tonge confirmed that Councillors views' would be sought via the Area Boards and referred to the parking review as part of the Local Transport Plan.

Cllr Caswill – Anti-social behaviour problems in Bath Road Car Park – this was a sensitive issue and the impression given that such anti-social behaviour was not considered a priority as agreed by the Area Board. Sought the Cabinet member's view on whether or not it would have been better to refrain from intervening until the views of the public were known.

Cllr Tonge reported that he hoped Cllr Caswill would be attending a meeting to be held in due course to discuss this issue.

Cllr Dalton – Parking charges and fines in the Salisbury area – thanked Cllr Tonge for the detailed response. He referred to the resurfacing of Fisherton Street, Salisbury and commented that there were a number of other roads which were also in urgent need of repair.

Appendix 2 to the Minutes - List of Councillors Questions and Responses

11. Executive Business

Executive Updates

Cllr Keith Humphries, Cabinet member for Health and Wellbeing drew Council's attention to the world's largest corporate health initiative, the Global Corporate Challenge. A Briefing Note giving details of the initiative had been previously circulated to Councillors.

Cabinet Scheme of Delegation

In accordance with paragraph 9 of Part 7 of the Constitution, Council received a report setting out amendments to Part 3 A of the constitution in relation to the responsibilities of Cabinet members.

The amendments concerned the responsibilities of the member for Waste, Property and Environment and the member for Highways and Transport. The purpose of the changes was to provide clarity as to which Cabinet member has responsibility for some of the previous district council front line services such as public conveniences, litter, cemeteries and markets. The changes also provided clarification on responsibility for the management of open spaces.

The Leader took the opportunity to circulate details of responsibilities assigned to the 13 Portfolio Holders who supported the respective Cabinet members.

Resolved:

That the revised Part 3 A of the Constitution as presented be noted and included in the Constitution.

c. <u>Area Boards</u>

The Chairman referred Councillors to the letter previously circulated which explained that they could ask questions of a general nature concerning Area Boards under this item, but they must be of a general nature only and not on specific local issues.

No such questions were raised.

12. Overview and Scrutiny

The Chairmen of the Overview and Scrutiny Select Committees were invited to update Council on major activities within their respective Select Committees.

Environment Select Committee

Cllr Groom updated Council on her Committee's consideration of the following matters:

- Development of the new Car Parking Plan;
- Development of the Gypsies and Travellers Strategy;
- The final report from the Waste Task Group which had been working closely with the Executive on developing the transformation of waste collection.

Overview and Scrutiny Management and Resources Select Committee

Cllr Jeff Osborn updated Council on his Committee's consideration of the following matters:

- LGR Appointments Procedure and Staff Harmonisation of Terms and Conditions;
- Business Management Programme and SAP;
- Councillors' ICT provision;
- Local Service Review Task Group (including Area Boards Review) and
- Overview and Scrutiny restructure

Children's Services Select Committee

On Cllr Soden's behalf, Cllr Hubbard updated Council on the Committee's consideration of the following matters:

- Laverstock Academy Project this would not now go ahead as the necessary signatures were not received to the Expression of Interest. Officers were currently in discussions with the schools regarding a way forward. The Committee would continue to receive updates and may establish a further task group to examine whatever arrangements are agreed;
- Ofsted's review of children's services in Wiltshire;
- 2009 Pupil Performance figures;
- The early stages of the review of the Special Educational Needs service;
- Monitored the impact of re-structuring the Social Care Team;
- The work of the Teenage Pregnancy Partnership;
- Implementation of the Common Assessment Framework and Lead Professional;
- The Council's contracts with Sodexho and
- The White Horse Education Partnership who managed the Council's three PFI schools.

In the forthcoming months the Committee would be looking at:

- The sustainability of Local Collaborative Partnerships, once funding from Central Government runs out in 2011;
- The new Placements Strategy for Looked After Children, which should increase the number of placements the Council was able to provide in-house;
- The Council's plans for taking on responsibility for 16-19 learning from the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) on 1 April 2010;
- How to improve the performance of Wiltshire's Primary Schools; and
- The future viability of Wiltshire's Small Schools.

13. Minutes of Cabinet and Committees

a. The Chairman moved that Council receives and noted the minutes of the following meetings and this was duly seconded by Councillor de Rhe-Philipe.

Cabinet	- 15/12/09, 26/01/10 and 11/02/10
Environment Select Committee	- 12/01/10
Health and Adult Social Care Select Committee	- 14/01/10
Overview and Scrutiny Management and Resources Select Committee	- 21/01/10
Children's Services Select	- 28/01/10

Committee

Special Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee	- 16/02/10
Eastern Area Planning Committee	- 17/12/09 and 14/01/10
Northern Area Planning Committee	- 14/12/09 and 03/02/10
Southern Area Planning Committee	- 10/12/09 and 28/01/10
Western Area Planning Committee	- 27/01/10
Audit Committee	- 09/12/09
Licensing Committee	- 15/12/09
Officer Appointments Committee	- 14/12/09 and 15/01/10
Standards Committee	- 03/02/10
Strategic Planning Committee	- 16/12/09 and 20/01/10

Resolved:

That the above mentioned minutes be received and noted.

b. The Chairman invited questions from Councillors on points of information or clarification on the above mentioned minutes and gave Chairmen of those meetings the opportunity to make any important announcements on the work for their respective meetings.

The following questions were raised:

Environment Select Committee – 12 January 2010 Minute No. 44 – Waste Scrutiny Task Group Final Report

The Cabinet member for Waste, Property and Environment was asked if he would now be accepting the minority party report and did he accept all of its recommendations. Cllr Oldrieve sought information on the cost of the scrutiny exercise.

Cllr Toby Sturgis explained that he did not accept all of the recommendations. He further added that given the various policies proposed by the different political parties nationally, it would be prudent to await the outcome of the forthcoming General Election. Cllr Osborn undertook to ask the relevant officers to provide Cllr Oldrieve on the cost of the scrutiny exercise. Strategic Planning Committee – 20 January 2010

Application No. S/2009/1527 – Amesbury: New visitor centre and associated works

Cllr Andrew Davis took the opportunity to thank Councillors for the manner in which they determined this important application. He also took the opportunity to welcome Andrew Kerr, the recently appointed Chief Executive to this his first meeting of Council.

<u>Standards Committee – 3 February 2010</u> <u>Minute No. 11 – Register of Members' Interests</u>

On behalf of the Chairman of the Standards Committee, Cllr Clark presented and moved the recommendations of the Standards Committee concerning the online publication of the Register of Members' Interests. It was emphasised that this would be on an opt-in basis and that Councillors' interest details would only be published online if Councillors expressly agreed to it.

The report considered by the Standards Committee in arriving at its recommendation was presented as background information. The report stated that the Council's external auditors, as part of the Use of Resources element of the Comprehensive Area Assessment, had recommended the Council to give serious consideration to making the Register available online. This was to provide greater transparency and public accountability.

A discussion ensued during which concerns were expressed by some Councillors on making such information readily available online. An alternate view by some Councillors was that the on-line publication of the Register of Interests should be mandatory upon all Councillors. A proposition to this effect as an amendment was not accepted by the Chairman on the advice of the Monitoring Officer given that in law there was no compulsion in this matter.

The Leader of the Council agreed with the proposal on the basis that Councillors were in public office and sought assurances that information would be updated promptly and details would be removed once a Councillor was no longer in office.

Resolved:

That the following recommendations of the Standards Committee dated 3 February 2010 be adopted:

- (a) That members and co-opted members of Wiltshire Council may opt into the online publication of their entries in the statutory Register of Members' Interests.
- (b) That the online version of the Register be redacted to remove copies of signatures.

14. Wiltshire Police Authority

The minutes of the Wiltshire Police Authority meeting held on 3 December 2009 and the report of the Police Authority were received and noted. No questions on these documents had been received from Councillors.

15. Wiltshire and Swindon Fire Authority

The minutes of the Wiltshire and Swindon Fire Authority meeting held on 17 December 2009 were received and noted. No questions had been raised by Councillors.

16. Notice of Motion - No. 9 - Area Boards

The Chairman reported receipt of the following motion moved by Cllr Caswill and seconded by Cllr Carbin:

'The Wiltshire Area Boards initiative has great potential but six months on there are lessons to be learned and improvements to be made to local delegation. Members should have the chance to debate and recommend improvements, and not be limited to responding to a questionnaire. In that spirit, we propose that Council:

- 1) agrees that the Area Board initiative should be continued.
- recognises that several aspects of Area Board work have already proved successful, for example the regular attendance and positive attitudes of the Council's partners. Board meetings have sometimes been successful in attracting high levels of public participation.
- 3) recognises however that there is some way to go to achieve the stated goal *"to provide a focus for community leadership, local influence and delegated decision making"* (p. 27 of the Area Board handbook). The public will rightly be looking for evidence of actions flowing from Board decisions. Without this there is a serious risk that Boards will lose public interest and support.
- 4) expresses a number of specific concerns, based on experience to date:
 - a) that Board agendas are too tightly managed, which has led to elected members have too little influence over agendas, and Boards sometimes failing to address major local issues.
 - b) that issues have ended up on long lists at Board meetings, often without discussion, and then on a web site which too often simply disposes of issues once officers have had a look at them, without resolution and without the involvement of ward councillors.

- c) that there is still a lack of clarity about the budgets which are allocated to Boards, and the extent to which they are earmarked for community grants, and for allocations to Area Partnerships.
- d) that the budgets are in any case too small to allow Boards to address community needs.
- e) that there is a similar lack of clarity about the role of Area Boards in the Council's various consultation processes.
- f) that the chairing of Area Boards by Cabinet members and Portfolio holders may inhibit open discussion, and may constitute a conflict of interest.
- 5) Notes the ambitions in the Area Board handbook that......

"(Area Boards) must be provided with the power to influence local services and respond effectively to local views" (Area Board handbook, p.31), and

"Area Boards will help councillors develop a meaningful community leadership role" (Area Board handbook, p.29)

.....and recommends to the Cabinet that:

- i. Board budgets are at least doubled in the forthcoming budget process, not by increasing the overall budget but by releasing funds from central departments.
- ii. the necessary constitutional and other amendments are made to specify delegations to Boards for decisions on the delivery of local services.
- iii. financial guidelines are produced to make clear that each Board has control over its own budget.
- iv. guidelines are produced to make clear that Boards have control over their own agendas and all elected members can help set those agendas.
 - v. ward councillor budgets are introduced on an experimental basis, subject to annual reports to the Boards, and accompanied by clear rules An initial annual allocation of £5000 per councillor is proposed, to be found from within current central allocations.
- vi. Cabinet members and portfolio holders should not chair Area Boards.

vii. the handling of the 'issues' process and the involvement of Area Boards in council consultations be referred to Overview and Scrutiny, for their recommendations for improvement'.

Cllr Caswill explained his motion.

The Chairman moved that the motion be debated and this was duly seconded by Cllr de Rhe Philipe and it was

Resolved:

That the motion be debated.

The Chairman explained that he would call Cllr Thomson as the Cabinet member for Community Services to respond to the motion then invite Group Leaders before opening the debate to other Councillors.

Cllr Thomson responded to the motion and in so doing proposed an amendment, details of which were tabled and this was duly seconded by Cllr Williams.

A discussion then ensued on the amendment.

On being put to the vote, the amendment was CARRIED.

On being put to the vote, the amendment now the substantive motion was CARRIED and it was

Resolved:

That the following motion be adopted:

That this Council thanks Councillors Caswill and Carbin for their detailed motion and supporting statements and :-.

- 1. Agrees that the Area Board initiative should be continued.
- 2. Recognises that many aspects of Area Board work have already proved successful, for example the regular attendance and positive attitudes of the Council's partners and in attracting high levels of public participation.
- 3. Recognises that Area Boards already have significant delegated powers as set out on page 31 of the Area Board Handbook and welcomes the Leader's review that will bring forward recommendations to further develop the potential of the Area Boards.

- 4. That in relation to the concerns expressed by the motion, this Council
 - (a) notes that control of agendas rests locally with the Area Board Coordination Group (as set out on page 42 of the Area Board Handbook) but recognises that a balance needs to be achieved between issues generated corporately and those raised locally.
 - (b) agrees that the Community Issues System is an important way for the public to initiate, influence and monitor the progress of local issues and encourages all councillors to play a full part in the resolution of issues referred to the Board in this way.
 - (c) acknowledges that the Area Board handbook on pages 35 and 37 sets out the budgets delegated to the Area Boards in 2010/11 and the arrangements for funding the Community Area Partnerships and notes the recent resolution of the Cabinet (meeting held on 11 February 2010 – Minute 20(a)(d)) and the published intended decision of the Cabinet Member (Delegated decision number (ACCL -001-10) aimed at clarifying the Area Boards Grants process and increasing the local flexibility and discretion of the Boards.
 - (d) notes that in addition to awarding grants, Area Boards were established to influence and shape the mainstream service expenditure of the Council and its partners
 - (e) welcomes the commitments to engage Area Boards in important consultations as set out on page 32 of the Area Boards handbook and requests all officers to ensure that more notice is given of consultations involving the Area Boards so that agenda of area boards can be better managed and local people better engaged.
 - (f) agrees that the election of chairmen and vice chairmen should be a matter for the individual area boards to decide.
- 5. That in relation to the detailed proposals put forward within section 5 of the motion, agrees that these be referred to be considered as part of the Leader's review of area boards with the following comments of the Council
 - (a) in relation to budgets additional funding will be available in 2010/11 and as mentioned in 4(c) there will be increased flexibility for area boards in the management of their budgets.

- (b) there is a balance to be achieved between locally determined issues and corporate/centrally generated issues.
- (c) that whilst the contribution of Overview and Scrutiny in developing the area board processes is welcomed, the outcome of the Leader's review of area boards that is currently ongoing, should be awaited.

17. Notice of Motion - No. 10 - Naming of Area Board

Declaration of Interest

Cllr Lay declared a personal interest in this matter by virtue of being a member of the local Community Area Partnership.

The Chairman reported receipt of the following motion moved by Cllr Colmer and seconded by Cllr Hubbard:

'As a result of an issue raised by the Wootton Bassett & Cricklade Area Community, after consultation with stakeholders by the Northern Community Area Partnership, it is recommended to Wiltshire Council that the name of the area board is changed to the Northern Area Board'.

Councillor Colmer explained the background to his motion and urged Councillors to support it.

The Chairman moved that the motion be debated and this was duly seconded by Cllr de Rhe Philipe and it was

Resolved:

That the motion be debated.

The Chairman explained that he would call Cllr Thomson as Cabinet member for Community Services to respond to the motion before inviting Group Leaders and then opening the debate to other Councillors.

A discussion ensued on the motion.

Cllr Thomson commented that the Area Board should concentrate on dealing with local issues rather than what it should call itself.

On being put to the vote, the motion was LOST and it was therefore

Resolved:

That the motion be NOT adopted.

18. Membership of Committees

The Chairman explained the purpose of this item was to determine any requests from Group Leaders on changes to committee membership in accordance with the allocation of seats to political groups previously approved by the Council. This was to cater for any necessary changes only. Group Leaders would of course be invited to review the membership of committees within their allocation at the Annual Meeting of Council on 18 May as part of the annual review of the allocation of seats on committees.

The Leader of the Council in her capacity as Leader of the Conservative group requested approval of the following changes to the membership of the Appeals Committee in relation to her group:

- Remove: Cllrs Payne and Gamble Substitutes – Cllrs Randall and de Rhe Philipe
- Appoint:: Cllrs P Davis and A Davis Substitutes – Cllrs Wayman and Crisp

Resolved:

That the above membership changes in respect of the Appeals Committee be approved.

19. Council - Dates of Meetings

The Chairman invited Council to set the dates of Council meetings for the remainder of 2010.

Resolved:

That Council approve the following dates for meetings of the Council for 2010:

18 May (Annual Council) 13 July 9 November

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Council Tax amounts by area Appendix 2 – Questions and responses (Duration of meeting: 10.30 am - 5.10 pm)

The Officer who has produced these minutes is Yamina Rhouati, of Democratic & Members' Services, direct line 01225 718024, e-mail <u>yaminarhouati@wiltshire.gov.uk</u>

Press enquiries to Communications, direct line (01225) 713114/713115

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1 to the Minutes of the Council Meeting – 23 February 2010

Wiltshire Council Tax Levy 2010-11 By Parish

Appendix 1

		Band	d D £					Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish	А	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Aldbourne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	26.44	979.35	1,142.57	1,305.80	1,469.02	1,795.47	2,121.92	2,448.37	2,938.
Alderbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.98	983.04	1,146.88	1,310.72	1,474.56	1,802.24	2,129.92	2,457.60	2,949.
All Cannings	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	36.17	985.83	1,150.14	1,314.44	1,478.75	1,807.36	2,135.97	2,464.58	2,957.5
Allington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	40.44	988.68	1,153.46	1,318.24	1,483.02	1,812.58	2,142.14	2,471.70	2,966.0
Alton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.36	973.29	1,135.51	1,297.72	1,459.94	1,784.37	2,108.80	2,433.23	2,919.8
Alvediston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.1
Amesbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	47.14	993.15	1,158.67	1,324.20	1,489.72	1,820.77	2,151.82	2,482.87	2,979.4
Ansty	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.24	973.21	1,135.42	1,297.62	1,459.82	1,784.22	2,108.63	2,433.03	2,919.6
Ashton Keynes	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	48.82	994.27	1,159.98	1,325.69	1,491.40	1,822.82	2,154.24	2,485.67	2,982.8
Atworth	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	25.45	978.69	1,141.80	1,304.92	1,468.03	1,794.26	2,120.49	2,446.72	2,936.0
Avebury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	47.84	993.61	1,159.22	1,324.82	1,490.42	1,821.62	2,152.83	2,484.03	2,980.8
Barford St Martin	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	33.05	983.75	1,147.71	1,311.67	1,475.63	1,803.55	2,131.47	2,459.38	2,951.2
Baydon	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	36.42	986.00	1,150.33	1,314.67	1,479.00	1,807.67	2,136.33	2,465.00	2,958.0
Beechingstoke	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.1
Berwick Bassett & W/Bourne Monkton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	6.22	965.87	1,126.84	1,287.82	1,448.80	1,770.76	2,092.71	2,414.67	2,897.6
Berwick St James	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	9.45	968.02	1,129.36	1,290.69	1,452.03	1,774.70	2,097.38	2,420.05	2,904.0
Berwick St John	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.83	977.61	1,140.54	1,303.48	1,466.41	1,792.28	2,118.15	2,444.02	2,932.8
Berwick St Leonard	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.1
Biddestone	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	28.52	980.73	1,144.19	1,307.64	1,471.10	1,798.01	2,124.92	2,451.83	2,942.2
Bishops Cannings	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	21.86	976.29	1,139.01	1,301.72	1,464.44	1,789.87	2,115.30	2,440.73	2,928.8
Bishopstone	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.39	971.31	1,133.20	1,295.08	1,456.97	1,780.74	2,104.51	2,428.28	2,913.9
Bishopstrow	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.45	971.35	1,133.25	1,295.14	1,457.03	1,780.81	2,104.60	2,428.38	2,914.0
Bowerchalke	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	11.32	969.27	1,130.81	1,292.36	1,453.90	1,776.99	2,100.08	2,423.17	2,907.8
Box	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	72.03	1,009.74	1,178.03	1,346.32	1,514.61	1,851.19	2,187.77	2,524.35	3,029.2
Boyton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	8.94	967.68	1,128.96	1,290.24	1,451.52	1,774.08	2,096.64	2,419.20	2,903.0
Bradford On Avon	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	86.17	1,019.17	1,189.03	1,358.89	1,528.75	1,868.47	2,208.19	2,547.92	3,057.5
Bratton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	46.57	992.77	1,158.23	1,323.69	1,489.15	1,820.07	2,150.99	2,481.92	2,978.3
Braydon	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.1
Bremhill	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	10.85	968.95	1,130.45	1,291.94	1,453.43	1,776.41	2,099.40	2,422.38	2,906.8

Ар	pendix	1
----	--------	---

		Band	1 D £					Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish	Α	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Brinkworth	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	27.40	979.99	1,143.32	1,306.65	1,469.98	1,796.64	2,123.30	2,449.97	2,939.96
Britford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	2.92	963.67	1,124.28	1,284.89	1,445.50	1,766.72	2,087.94	2,409.17	2,891.00
Broad Hinton & W/Bourne Bassett	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.07	971.10	1,132.95	1,294.80	1,456.65	1,780.35	2,104.05	2,427.75	2,913.30
Broad Town	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	12.04	969.75	1,131.37	1,293.00	1,454.62	1,777.87	2,101.12	2,424.37	2,909.24
Broadchalke	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.41	973.33	1,135.55	1,297.77	1,459.99	1,784.43	2,108.87	2,433.32	2,919.98
Brokenborough	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	12.10	969.79	1,131.42	1,293.05	1,454.68	1,777.94	2,101.20	2,424.47	2,909.36
Bromham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	39.83	988.27	1,152.99	1,317.70	1,482.41	1,811.83	2,141.26	2,470.68	2,964.82
Broughton Gifford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	13.98	971.04	1,132.88	1,294.72	1,456.56	1,780.24	2,103.92	2,427.60	2,913.12
Bulford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	36.34	985.95	1,150.27	1,314.60	1,478.92	1,807.57	2,136.22	2,464.87	2,957.84
Bulkington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	36.30	985.92	1,150.24	1,314.56	1,478.88	1,807.52	2,136.16	2,464.80	2,957.76
Burbage	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.97	973.70	1,135.98	1,298.27	1,460.55	1,785.12	2,109.68	2,434.25	2,921.10
Burcombe	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.90	974.32	1,136.71	1,299.09	1,461.48	1,786.25	2,111.03	2,435.80	2,922.96
Buttermere	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Calne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	202.90	1,096.99	1,279.82	1,462.65	1,645.48	2,011.14	2,376.80	2,742.47	3,290.96
Calne Without	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	5.33	965.27	1,126.15	1,287.03	1,447.91	1,769.67	2,091.43	2,413.18	2,895.82
Castle Combe	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.82	978.27	1,141.31	1,304.36	1,467.40	1,793.49	2,119.58	2,445.67	2,934.80
Chapmanslade	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.85	973.62	1,135.89	1,298.16	1,460.43	1,784.97	2,109.51	2,434.05	2,920.86
Charlton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.78	974.91	1,137.39	1,299.88	1,462.36	1,787.33	2,112.30	2,437.27	2,924.72
Charlton St Peter & Wilsford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	9.23	967.87	1,129.19	1,290.50	1,451.81	1,774.43	2,097.06	2,419.68	2,903.62
Cherhill	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	15.55	972.09	1,134.10	1,296.12	1,458.13	1,782.16	2,106.19	2,430.22	2,916.26
Chicklade	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Chilmark	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.81	978.26	1,141.30	1,304.35	1,467.39	1,793.48	2,119.56	2,445.65	2,934.78
Chilton Foliat	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	29.82	981.60	1,145.20	1,308.80	1,472.40	1,799.60	2,126.80	2,454.00	2,944.80
Chippenham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	128.76	1,047.56	1,222.15	1,396.75	1,571.34	1,920.53	2,269.71	2,618.90	3,142.68
Chippenham Without	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	39.44	988.01	1,152.68	1,317.35	1,482.02	1,811.36	2,140.70	2,470.03	2,964.04
Chirton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	8.28	967.24	1,128.45	1,289.65	1,450.86	1,773.27	2,095.69	2,418.10	2,901.72
Chitterne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	41.48	989.37	1,154.27	1,319.16	1,484.06	1,813.85	2,143.64	2,473.43	2,968.12
Cholderton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	38.99	987.71	1,152.33	1,316.95	1,481.57	1,810.81	2,140.05	2,469.28	2,963.14
Christian Malford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.76	978.23	1,141.26	1,304.30	1,467.34	1,793.42	2,119.49	2,445.57	2,934.68

Ap	pendix	1
----	--------	---

		Band	1 D £	Council Tax Bandings £									
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish		А	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Chute	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	34.90		984.99	1,149.15	1,313.32	1,477.48	1,805.81	2,134.14	2,462.47	2,954.96
Chute Forest	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.54		978.08	1,141.09	1,304.11	1,467.12	1,793.15	2,119.17	2,445.20	2,934.24
Clarendon Park	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	8.97		967.70	1,128.98	1,290.27	1,451.55	1,774.12	2,096.68	2,419.25	2,903.10
Clyffe Pypard	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00		961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Codford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	15.91		972.33	1,134.38	1,296.44	1,458.49	1,782.60	2,106.71	2,430.82	2,916.98
Colerne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	42.88		990.31	1,155.36	1,320.41	1,485.46	1,815.56	2,145.66	2,475.77	2,970.92
Collingbourne Ducis	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	44.09		991.11	1,156.30	1,321.48	1,486.67	1,817.04	2,147.41	2,477.78	2,973.34
Collingbourne Kingston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	43.56		990.76	1,155.89	1,321.01	1,486.14	1,816.39	2,146.65	2,476.90	2,972.28
Compton Bassett	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.83		972.94	1,135.10	1,297.25	1,459.41	1,783.72	2,108.04	2,432.35	2,918.82
Compton Chamberlayne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	42.11		989.79	1,154.76	1,319.72	1,484.69	1,814.62	2,144.55	2,474.48	2,969.38
Coombe Bissett	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	47.87		993.63	1,159.24	1,324.84	1,490.45	1,821.66	2,152.87	2,484.08	2,980.90
Corsham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	108.15		1,033.82	1,206.12	1,378.43	1,550.73	1,895.34	2,239.94	2,584.55	3,101.46
Corsley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	13.00		970.39	1,132.12	1,293.85	1,455.58	1,779.04	2,102.50	2,425.97	2,911.16
Coulston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.56		978.09	1,141.11	1,304.12	1,467.14	1,793.17	2,119.20	2,445.23	2,934.28
Cricklade	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	135.51		1,052.06	1,227.40	1,402.75	1,578.09	1,928.78	2,279.46	2,630.15	3,156.18
Crudwell	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	28.54		980.75	1,144.20	1,307.66	1,471.12	1,798.04	2,124.95	2,451.87	2,942.24
Dauntsey	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	33.76		984.23	1,148.26	1,312.30	1,476.34	1,804.42	2,132.49	2,460.57	2,952.68
Devizes	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	103.68		1,030.84	1,202.65	1,374.45	1,546.26	1,889.87	2,233.49	2,577.10	3,092.52
Dilton Marsh	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	26.51		979.39	1,142.63	1,305.86	1,469.09	1,795.55	2,122.02	2,448.48	2,938.18
Dinton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	33.32		983.93	1,147.92	1,311.91	1,475.90	1,803.88	2,131.86	2,459.83	2,951.80
Donhead St Andrew	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	13.04		970.41	1,132.15	1,293.88	1,455.62	1,779.09	2,102.56	2,426.03	2,911.24
Donhead St Mary	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.92		978.33	1,141.39	1,304.44	1,467.50	1,793.61	2,119.72	2,445.83	2,935.00
Downton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	30.77		982.23	1,145.94	1,309.64	1,473.35	1,800.76	2,128.17	2,455.58	2,946.70
Durnford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	8.69		967.51	1,128.77	1,290.02	1,451.27	1,773.77	2,096.28	2,418.78	2,902.54
Durrington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	47.14		993.15	1,158.67	1,324.20	1,489.72	1,820.77	2,151.82	2,482.87	2,979.44
East Kennett	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00		961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
East Knoyle	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.42		977.33	1,140.22	1,303.11	1,466.00	1,791.78	2,117.56	2,443.33	2,932.00
Easterton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	42.96	1	990.36	1,155.42	1,320.48	1,485.54	1,815.66	2,145.78	2,475.90	2,971.08
Easton Grey	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	1	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16

Appendix 1

		Band	1 D £						Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish		А	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Easton Royal	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	35.65		985.49	1,149.73	1,313.98	1,478.23	1,806.73	2,135.22	2,463.72	2,956.46
Ebbesbourne Wake	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.29		972.58	1,134.68	1,296.77	1,458.87	1,783.06	2,107.26	2,431.45	2,917.74
Edington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.33		973.27	1,135.49	1,297.70	1,459.91	1,784.33	2,108.76	2,433.18	2,919.82
Enford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.68		982.84	1,146.65	1,310.45	1,474.26	1,801.87	2,129.49	2,457.10	2,948.52
Erlestoke	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	48.33	1	993.94	1,159.60	1,325.25	1,490.91	1,822.22	2,153.54	2,484.85	2,981.82
Etchilhampton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	26.14	1	979.15	1,142.34	1,305.53	1,468.72	1,795.10	2,121.48	2,447.87	2,937.44
Everleigh	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	36.23		985.87	1,150.19	1,314.50	1,478.81	1,807.43	2,136.06	2,464.68	2,957.62
Figheldean	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	64.66		1,004.83	1,172.30	1,339.77	1,507.24	1,842.18	2,177.12	2,512.07	3,014.48
Firsdown	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	20.21	1	975.19	1,137.73	1,300.26	1,462.79	1,787.85	2,112.92	2,437.98	2,925.58
Fittleton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	41.02	1	989.07	1,153.91	1,318.76	1,483.60	1,813.29	2,142.98	2,472.67	2,967.20
Fonthill Bishop	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00		961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Fonthill Gifford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.73		977.54	1,140.46	1,303.39	1,466.31	1,792.16	2,118.00	2,443.85	2,932.62
Fovant	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.25		974.55	1,136.98	1,299.40	1,461.83	1,786.68	2,111.53	2,436.38	2,923.66
Froxfield	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	30.98	1	982.37	1,146.10	1,309.83	1,473.56	1,801.02	2,128.48	2,455.93	2,947.12
Fyfield & West Overton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	22.63	1	976.81	1,139.61	1,302.41	1,465.21	1,790.81	2,116.41	2,442.02	2,930.42
Grafton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.77		972.90	1,135.05	1,297.20	1,459.35	1,783.65	2,107.95	2,432.25	2,918.70
Great Bedwyn	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	28.69		980.85	1,144.32	1,307.80	1,471.27	1,798.22	2,125.17	2,452.12	2,942.54
Great Cheverell	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.10		982.45	1,146.20	1,309.94	1,473.68	1,801.16	2,128.65	2,456.13	2,947.36
Great Hinton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.84		973.61	1,135.88	1,298.15	1,460.42	1,784.96	2,109.50	2,434.03	2,920.84
Great Somerford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.45		974.02	1,136.36	1,298.69	1,461.03	1,785.70	2,110.38	2,435.05	2,922.06
Great Wishford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.51		973.39	1,135.63	1,297.86	1,460.09	1,784.55	2,109.02	2,433.48	2,920.18
Grimstead	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	11.21		969.19	1,130.73	1,292.26	1,453.79	1,776.85	2,099.92	2,422.98	2,907.58
Grittleton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.63		971.47	1,133.39	1,295.30	1,457.21	1,781.03	2,104.86	2,428.68	2,914.42
Ham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	15.99		972.38	1,134.44	1,296.51	1,458.57	1,782.70	2,106.82	2,430.95	2,917.14
Hankerton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.53	1	977.41	1,140.31	1,303.21	1,466.11	1,791.91	2,117.71	2,443.52	2,932.22
Heddington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.30		971.25	1,133.13	1,295.00	1,456.88	1,780.63	2,104.38	2,428.13	2,913.76
Heytesbury & Knook	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	26.66]	979.49	1,142.74	1,305.99	1,469.24	1,795.74	2,122.24	2,448.73	2,938.48
Heywood	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	12.31	1	969.93	1,131.58	1,293.24	1,454.89	1,778.20	2,101.51	2,424.82	2,909.78
Hilmarton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.68]	978.17	1,141.20	1,304.23	1,467.26	1,793.32	2,119.38	2,445.43	2,934.52

Appendix 1

		Band	dD£						Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish		А	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Hilperton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	10.99		969.05	1,130.55	1,292.06	1,453.57	1,776.59	2,099.60	2,422.62	2,907.14
Hindon	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	32.63		983.47	1,147.39	1,311.30	1,475.21	1,803.03	2,130.86	2,458.68	2,950.42
Holt	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.45		977.35	1,140.25	1,303.14	1,466.03	1,791.81	2,117.60	2,443.38	2,932.06
Horningsham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	50.22		995.20	1,161.07	1,326.93	1,492.80	1,824.53	2,156.27	2,488.00	2,985.60
Hullavington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	34.85		984.95	1,149.11	1,313.27	1,477.43	1,805.75	2,134.07	2,462.38	2,954.86
Idmiston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.42		978.00	1,141.00	1,304.00	1,467.00	1,793.00	2,119.00	2,445.00	2,934.00
Keevil	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	25.65		978.82	1,141.96	1,305.09	1,468.23	1,794.50	2,120.78	2,447.05	2,936.46
Kilmington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	25.11		978.46	1,141.54	1,304.61	1,467.69	1,793.84	2,120.00	2,446.15	2,935.38
Kington Langley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	51.74		996.21	1,162.25	1,328.28	1,494.32	1,826.39	2,158.46	2,490.53	2,988.64
Kington St Michael	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	34.99		985.05	1,149.22	1,313.40	1,477.57	1,805.92	2,134.27	2,462.62	2,955.14
Lacock	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.34		977.28	1,140.16	1,303.04	1,465.92	1,791.68	2,117.44	2,443.20	2,931.84
Landford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.16		982.49	1,146.24	1,309.99	1,473.74	1,801.24	2,128.74	2,456.23	2,947.48
Langley Burrell	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	27.43		980.01	1,143.34	1,306.68	1,470.01	1,796.68	2,123.35	2,450.02	2,940.02
Latton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.35		977.29	1,140.17	1,303.05	1,465.93	1,791.69	2,117.45	2,443.22	2,931.86
Laverstock & Ford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	11.17		969.17	1,130.69	1,292.22	1,453.75	1,776.81	2,099.86	2,422.92	2,907.50
Lea & Cleverton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	21.22		975.87	1,138.51	1,301.16	1,463.80	1,789.09	2,114.38	2,439.67	2,927.60
Leigh	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.97		977.70	1,140.65	1,303.60	1,466.55	1,792.45	2,118.35	2,444.25	2,933.10
Limpley Stoke	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	37.54		986.75	1,151.20	1,315.66	1,480.12	1,809.04	2,137.95	2,466.87	2,960.24
Little Bedwyn	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	15.93		972.34	1,134.40	1,296.45	1,458.51	1,782.62	2,106.74	2,430.85	2,917.02
Little Cheverell	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	20.03		975.07	1,137.59	1,300.10	1,462.61	1,787.63	2,112.66	2,437.68	2,925.22
Little Somerford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.51		978.06	1,141.07	1,304.08	1,467.09	1,793.11	2,119.13	2,445.15	2,934.18
Longbridge Deverill	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	10.04		968.41	1,129.82	1,291.22	1,452.62	1,775.42	2,098.23	2,421.03	2,905.24
Luckington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.39		972.65	1,134.75	1,296.86	1,458.97	1,783.19	2,107.40	2,431.62	2,917.94
Ludgershall	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	66.69		1,006.18	1,173.88	1,341.57	1,509.27	1,844.66	2,180.06	2,515.45	3,018.54
Lydiard Millicent	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.63		974.14	1,136.50	1,298.85	1,461.21	1,785.92	2,110.64	2,435.35	2,922.42
Lydiard Tregoze	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	22.91		976.99	1,139.83	1,302.66	1,465.49	1,791.15	2,116.82	2,442.48	2,930.98
Lyneham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.66		974.83	1,137.30	1,299.77	1,462.24	1,787.18	2,112.12	2,437.07	2,924.48
Maiden Bradley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	74.89	1	1,011.65	1,180.25	1,348.86	1,517.47	1,854.69	2,191.90	2,529.12	3,034.94
Malmesbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	169.11	1	1,074.46	1,253.54	1,432.61	1,611.69	1,969.84	2,328.00	2,686.15	3,223.38

Appendix 1	1
------------	---

		Band	d D £					Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish	Α	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Manningford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	33.38	983.97	1,147.97	1,311.96	1,475.96	1,803.95	2,131.94	2,459.93	2,951.92
Marden	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	5.94	965.68	1,126.63	1,287.57	1,448.52	1,770.41	2,092.31	2,414.20	2,897.04
Market Lavington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	51.75	996.22	1,162.26	1,328.29	1,494.33	1,826.40	2,158.48	2,490.55	2,988.66
Marlborough	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	119.48	1,041.37	1,214.94	1,388.50	1,562.06	1,909.18	2,256.31	2,603.43	3,124.12
Marston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	29.77	981.57	1,145.16	1,308.76	1,472.35	1,799.54	2,126.73	2,453.92	2,944.70
Marston Meysey	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.46	974.69	1,137.14	1,299.59	1,462.04	1,786.94	2,111.84	2,436.73	2,924.08
Melksham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	68.42	1,007.33	1,175.22	1,343.11	1,511.00	1,846.78	2,182.56	2,518.33	3,022.00
Melksham Without	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	37.09	986.45	1,150.85	1,315.26	1,479.67	1,808.49	2,137.30	2,466.12	2,959.34
Mere	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	69.96	1,008.36	1,176.42	1,344.48	1,512.54	1,848.66	2,184.78	2,520.90	3,025.08
Mildenhall	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.74	978.21	1,141.25	1,304.28	1,467.32	1,793.39	2,119.46	2,445.53	2,934.64
Milston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.62	971.47	1,133.38	1,295.29	1,457.20	1,781.02	2,104.84	2,428.67	2,914.40
Milton Lilbourne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	22.82	976.93	1,139.76	1,302.58	1,465.40	1,791.04	2,116.69	2,442.33	2,930.80
Minety	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.03	973.74	1,136.03	1,298.32	1,460.61	1,785.19	2,109.77	2,434.35	2,921.22
Monkton Farleigh	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	22.30	976.59	1,139.35	1,302.12	1,464.88	1,790.41	2,115.94	2,441.47	2,929.76
Netheravon	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	47.82	993.60	1,159.20	1,324.80	1,490.40	1,821.60	2,152.80	2,484.00	2,980.80
Netherhampton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	9.10	967.79	1,129.08	1,290.38	1,451.68	1,774.28	2,096.87	2,419.47	2,903.36
Nettleton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	7.64	966.81	1,127.95	1,289.08	1,450.22	1,772.49	2,094.76	2,417.03	2,900.44
Newton Toney	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	38.73	987.54	1,152.13	1,316.72	1,481.31	1,810.49	2,139.67	2,468.85	2,962.62
North Bradley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	11.83	969.61	1,131.21	1,292.81	1,454.41	1,777.61	2,100.81	2,424.02	2,908.82
North Newnton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.88	974.31	1,136.69	1,299.08	1,461.46	1,786.23	2,111.00	2,435.77	2,922.92
North Wraxall	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	9.72	968.20	1,129.57	1,290.93	1,452.30	1,775.03	2,097.77	2,420.50	2,904.60
Norton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Norton Bavant	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Oaksey	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	49.87	994.97	1,160.79	1,326.62	1,492.45	1,824.11	2,155.76	2,487.42	2,984.90
Odstock	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	34.29	984.58	1,148.68	1,312.77	1,476.87	1,805.06	2,133.26	2,461.45	2,953.74
Ogbourne St Andrew	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	12.59	970.11	1,131.80	1,293.48	1,455.17	1,778.54	2,101.91	2,425.28	2,910.34
Ogbourne St George	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	22.60	976.79	1,139.58	1,302.38	1,465.18	1,790.78	2,116.37	2,441.97	2,930.36
Orcheston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.27	972.57	1,134.66	1,296.76	1,458.85	1,783.04	2,107.23	2,431.42	2,917.70
Patney	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	5.84	965.61	1,126.55	1,287.48	1,448.42	1,770.29	2,092.16	2,414.03	2,896.84

Appendix 1	1
------------	---

		Band	I D £					Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish	Α	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
Pewsey	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	56.91	999.66	1,166.27	1,332.88	1,499.49	1,832.71	2,165.93	2,499.15	2,998.98
Pitton & Farley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.37	971.30	1,133.18	1,295.07	1,456.95	1,780.72	2,104.48	2,428.25	2,913.90
Potterne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.66	978.16	1,141.19	1,304.21	1,467.24	1,793.29	2,119.35	2,445.40	2,934.48
Poulshot	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	32.76	983.56	1,147.49	1,311.41	1,475.34	1,803.19	2,131.05	2,458.90	2,950.68
Preshute	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	25.82	978.93	1,142.09	1,305.24	1,468.40	1,794.71	2,121.02	2,447.33	2,936.80
Purton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	97.71	1,026.86	1,198.00	1,369.15	1,540.29	1,882.58	2,224.86	2,567.15	3,080.58
Quidhampton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	25.53	978.74	1,141.86	1,304.99	1,468.11	1,794.36	2,120.60	2,446.85	2,936.22
Ramsbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	40.23	988.54	1,153.30	1,318.05	1,482.81	1,812.32	2,141.84	2,471.35	2,965.62
Redlynch	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.25	974.55	1,136.98	1,299.40	1,461.83	1,786.68	2,111.53	2,436.38	2,923.66
Roundway	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	26.59	979.45	1,142.69	1,305.93	1,469.17	1,795.65	2,122.13	2,448.62	2,938.34
Rowde	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	55.63	998.81	1,165.27	1,331.74	1,498.21	1,831.15	2,164.08	2,497.02	2,996.42
Rushall	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	28.70	980.85	1,144.33	1,307.80	1,471.28	1,798.23	2,125.18	2,452.13	2,942.56
Salisbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	80.00	1,015.05	1,184.23	1,353.40	1,522.58	1,860.93	2,199.28	2,537.63	3,045.16
Savernake	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	7.65	966.82	1,127.96	1,289.09	1,450.23	1,772.50	2,094.78	2,417.05	2,900.46
Seagry	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	52.82	996.93	1,163.09	1,329.24	1,495.40	1,827.71	2,160.02	2,492.33	2,990.80
Sedgehill & Semley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.30	973.92	1,136.24	1,298.56	1,460.88	1,785.52	2,110.16	2,434.80	2,921.76
Seend	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.08	973.77	1,136.07	1,298.36	1,460.66	1,785.25	2,109.84	2,434.43	2,921.32
Semington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.68	974.84	1,137.31	1,299.79	1,462.26	1,787.21	2,112.15	2,437.10	2,924.52
Shalbourne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	46.26	992.56	1,157.99	1,323.41	1,488.84	1,819.69	2,150.55	2,481.40	2,977.68
Sherrington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Sherston	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.50	982.72	1,146.51	1,310.29	1,474.08	1,801.65	2,129.23	2,456.80	2,948.16
Shrewton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	21.96	976.36	1,139.09	1,301.81	1,464.54	1,789.99	2,115.45	2,440.90	2,929.08
Sopworth	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	8.65	967.49	1,128.73	1,289.98	1,451.23	1,773.73	2,096.22	2,418.72	2,902.46
South Newton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	18.57	974.10	1,136.45	1,298.80	1,461.15	1,785.85	2,110.55	2,435.25	2,922.30
South Wraxhall	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.08	971.11	1,132.96	1,294.81	1,456.66	1,780.36	2,104.06	2,427.77	2,913.32
Southwick	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.09	974.45	1,136.85	1,299.26	1,461.67	1,786.49	2,111.30	2,436.12	2,923.34
St Paul Without	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	12.26	969.89	1,131.54	1,293.19	1,454.84	1,778.14	2,101.44	2,424.73	2,909.68
Stanton St Bernard	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.69	973.51	1,135.77	1,298.02	1,460.27	1,784.77	2,109.28	2,433.78	2,920.54
Stanton St Quintin	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00	961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16

Appendix 1

		Band	1 D £						Council Ta	x Bandings £			
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	н
Stapleford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	26.74		979.55	1,142.80	1,306.06	1,469.32	1,795.84	2,122.35	2,448.87	2,938.64
Staverton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.45		982.69	1,146.47	1,310.25	1,474.03	1,801.59	2,129.15	2,456.72	2,948.06
Steeple Ashton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	44.53		991.41	1,156.64	1,321.88	1,487.11	1,817.58	2,148.05	2,478.52	2,974.22
Steeple Langford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.76		973.56	1,135.82	1,298.08	1,460.34	1,784.86	2,109.38	2,433.90	2,920.68
Stert	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	9.99		968.38	1,129.78	1,291.17	1,452.57	1,775.36	2,098.16	2,420.95	2,905.14
Stockton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00		961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Stourton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	13.23		970.54	1,132.30	1,294.05	1,455.81	1,779.32	2,102.84	2,426.35	2,911.62
Stratford Toney	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00		961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Sutton Benger	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.20		972.52	1,134.61	1,296.69	1,458.78	1,782.95	2,107.13	2,431.30	2,917.56
Sutton Mandeville	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	7.71		966.86	1,128.00	1,289.15	1,450.29	1,772.58	2,094.86	2,417.15	2,900.58
Sutton Veny	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	45.66		992.16	1,157.52	1,322.88	1,488.24	1,818.96	2,149.68	2,480.40	2,976.48
Swallowcliffe	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.84		971.61	1,133.55	1,295.48	1,457.42	1,781.29	2,105.16	2,429.03	2,914.84
Teffont	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	49.02		994.40	1,160.13	1,325.87	1,491.60	1,823.07	2,154.53	2,486.00	2,983.20
Tidcombe & Fosbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	0.00		961.72	1,122.01	1,282.29	1,442.58	1,763.15	2,083.73	2,404.30	2,885.16
Tidworth	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	65.33		1,005.27	1,172.82	1,340.36	1,507.91	1,843.00	2,178.09	2,513.18	3,015.82
Tilshead	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	47.80		993.59	1,159.18	1,324.78	1,490.38	1,821.58	2,152.77	2,483.97	2,980.76
Tisbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	40.76		988.89	1,153.71	1,318.52	1,483.34	1,812.97	2,142.60	2,472.23	2,966.68
Tockenham	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.83		978.27	1,141.32	1,304.36	1,467.41	1,793.50	2,119.59	2,445.68	2,934.82
Tollard Royal	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	52.63		996.81	1,162.94	1,329.08	1,495.21	1,827.48	2,159.75	2,492.02	2,990.42
Trowbridge	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	111.00		1,035.72	1,208.34	1,380.96	1,553.58	1,898.82	2,244.06	2,589.30	3,107.16
Upavon	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	29.86		981.63	1,145.23	1,308.84	1,472.44	1,799.65	2,126.86	2,454.07	2,944.88
Upper Deverills	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	34.44		984.68	1,148.79	1,312.91	1,477.02	1,805.25	2,133.47	2,461.70	2,954.04
Upton Lovell	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	28.60		980.79	1,144.25	1,307.72	1,471.18	1,798.11	2,125.04	2,451.97	2,942.36
Upton Scudamore	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	22.63		976.81	1,139.61	1,302.41	1,465.21	1,790.81	2,116.41	2,442.02	2,930.42
Urchfont	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	53.08		997.11	1,163.29	1,329.48	1,495.66	1,828.03	2,160.40	2,492.77	2,991.32
Warminster	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	73.02		1,010.40	1,178.80	1,347.20	1,515.60	1,852.40	2,189.20	2,526.00	3,031.20
West Ashton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	13.20		970.52	1,132.27	1,294.03	1,455.78	1,779.29	2,102.79	2,426.30	2,911.56
West Dean	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	38.43		987.34	1,151.90	1,316.45	1,481.01	1,810.12	2,139.24	2,468.35	2,962.02
West Knoyle	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	31.54	1	982.75	1,146.54	1,310.33	1,474.12	1,801.70	2,129.28	2,456.87	2,948.24

Wiltshire Council Tax Levy 2010-11 By Parish

Appendix 1

		Band D £				Council Tax Bandings £							
Parish / Town	Wiltshire Council	Police	Fire & Rescue	Town / Parish		А	В	с	D	E	F	G	н
West Lavington	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	29.03		981.07	1,144.59	1,308.10	1,471.61	1,798.63	2,125.66	2,452.68	2,943.22
West Tisbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.48		972.71	1,134.82	1,296.94	1,459.06	1,783.30	2,107.53	2,431.77	2,918.12
Westbury	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	67.07		1,006.43	1,174.17	1,341.91	1,509.65	1,845.13	2,180.61	2,516.08	3,019.30
Westwood	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	21.12		975.80	1,138.43	1,301.07	1,463.70	1,788.97	2,114.23	2,439.50	2,927.40
Whiteparish	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	35.71		985.53	1,149.78	1,314.04	1,478.29	1,806.80	2,135.31	2,463.82	2,956.58
Wilcot & Huish	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	14.92		971.67	1,133.61	1,295.56	1,457.50	1,781.39	2,105.28	2,429.17	2,915.00
Wilsford-cum-Lake	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	6.73		966.21	1,127.24	1,288.28	1,449.31	1,771.38	2,093.45	2,415.52	2,898.62
Wilton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	60.44		1,002.01	1,169.02	1,336.02	1,503.02	1,837.02	2,171.03	2,505.03	3,006.04
Wingfield	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	29.69		981.51	1,145.10	1,308.68	1,472.27	1,799.44	2,126.61	2,453.78	2,944.54
Winsley	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.57		974.77	1,137.23	1,299.69	1,462.15	1,787.07	2,111.99	2,436.92	2,924.30
Winterbourne	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	27.85		980.29	1,143.67	1,307.05	1,470.43	1,797.19	2,123.95	2,450.72	2,940.86
Winterbourne Stoke	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.38		977.31	1,140.19	1,303.08	1,465.96	1,791.73	2,117.50	2,443.27	2,931.92
Winterslow	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	25.31		978.59	1,141.69	1,304.79	1,467.89	1,794.09	2,120.29	2,446.48	2,935.78
Woodborough	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	11.15		969.15	1,130.68	1,292.20	1,453.73	1,776.78	2,099.83	2,422.88	2,907.46
Woodford	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	17.70		973.52	1,135.77	1,298.03	1,460.28	1,784.79	2,109.29	2,433.80	2,920.56
Wootton Bassett	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	188.74		1,087.55	1,268.80	1,450.06	1,631.32	1,993.84	2,356.35	2,718.87	3,262.64
Wootton Rivers	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	19.74		974.88	1,137.36	1,299.84	1,462.32	1,787.28	2,112.24	2,437.20	2,924.64
Worton	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.74		978.21	1,141.25	1,304.28	1,467.32	1,793.39	2,119.46	2,445.53	2,934.64
Wylye	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	24.04		977.75	1,140.70	1,303.66	1,466.62	1,792.54	2,118.45	2,444.37	2,933.24
Yatton Keynell	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	23.38		977.31	1,140.19	1,303.08	1,465.96	1,791.73	2,117.50	2,443.27	2,931.92
Zeals	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	16.84		972.95	1,135.10	1,297.26	1,459.42	1,783.74	2,108.05	2,432.37	2,918.84
Averages / Totals	1,222.43	157.77	62.38	69.38		248,414.55	289,817.08	331,219.29	372,621.80	455,426.52	538,231.55	621,036.35	745,243.60

This page is intentionally left blank

COUNCIL 23 February 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR HELEN OSBORN TROWBRIDGE LAMBROK DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR TOBY STURGIS, CABINET MEMBER FOR WASTE, PROPERTY & ENVIRONMENT

Question 1

May I please be informed as to Wiltshire Council's intention for the use of the old Innox Hall site, off Innox Road, Trowbridge? This site is in the ownership of Wiltshire Council and is zoned for community use.

Until recently it was expected that this would be the location for the successful Trowbridge Playbuilder bid. However I now understand that this is to take up green space in the adjacent Stallard Park.

A full update would be appreciated.

Response

There have not been any decisions made regarding the future use of the old Innox Hall site, off Innox Road, Trowbridge. It has been put forward as a possible site for affordable housing development and has also been under consideration as a possible play area.

There has been £75,000 playbuilder funding allocated to the Stallards/Innox Road site. To date a decision has not been made on whether to invest this funding in the Innox Road site or in the adjacent Stallard Park.

A report will be presented to the Trowbridge Area Board on 4th March to discuss investigating the potential of the Innox Hall site for development of affordable housing.

TO COUNCILLOR LIONEL GRUNDY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES

Question 2

Trowbridge Town Council has asked me to raise a question concerning Wiltshire Council Members' Briefing No. 23.

Why were Trowbridge Town Council and Trowbridge Youth Council not consulted on this matter?

<u>Response</u>

On 16 December 2009 the briefing note, No. 23. was sent to all Wiltshire Council Members and to all Community Area Managers. Contained within this note was a paragraph which outlined how local youth development coordinators were considering the options for the delivery of youth work in their community areas and how Community Area Young People's Issues Groups (CAYPIGs) and Community Area Boards should be enabled to participate in these considerations over the forthcoming months. Trowbridge Town Council are a key partner in the Trowbridge Community Area Board and the local CAYPIG offers the opportunity for the voice of young people to be heard.

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR JEFF OSBORN TROWBRIDGE GROVE DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR SCOTT, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

Question 1

Please may the constitutional significance and implications of Members' Briefings be explained to the Council?

Can Members' Briefings be used as an instrument of decision making?

There is a particular concern that Members' Briefings, unlike Cabinet decisions and decisions delegated to Cabinet Members, do not allow for the possibility of Call In.

By what criteria is it determined that a Members Briefing is used, as opposed to a Cabinet decision or a Cabinet Member delegated decision, to inform councillors of decision making?

In the interests of clarity and transparency please may this whole matter be considered by the Standards Committee and a report brought back to Full Council on 18th May 2010?

Response

In this response the assumption has been made that reference in the question to Members Briefing is in fact the Members Briefing notes that are circulated to all councillors on a regular basis.

These Briefing Notes have no constitutional status other than to implement the commitment within the protocol contained within the Constitution (Briefing and Information for local Councillors' Protocol). That commitment is to ensure that local councillors are equipped with the information that they need to carry out their role, including information which relates to their individual division. The majority of these issues are not formal matters and are not therefore the subject of a report to a committee or cabinet, but relate to all sorts of activity within their division. These issues need to be picked up by service officers and it is their responsibility to ensure that councillors are kept well briefed. One of the ways to do this where the matter impacts on a large area of the county, is through the Member Briefing note process. The Briefing Note is not an instrument of decision making other than that they can be used to inform councillors of decisions taken by officers under the approved scheme of delegation.

Parts 2 and 3 of the Constitution explain the functions of the Cabinet and the detailed scheme of delegation to Cabinet Members and as councillors will know there is a separate process for informing them of decisions taken under that scheme. The criteria which the question refers to is in effect the criteria used to determine whether the matter falls to the cabinet or under the cabinet members scheme of delegation or indeed the officer scheme of delegation. Parts 2 and 3 of the Constitution deal with this matter and this will determine the subsequent manner in which councillors are informed of the matter.

As Councillors will know the Standards Committee will be leading on a review of the Constitution and if individual councillors, when consulted on the review, wish to raise this as an issue, then the matter can be given the appropriate consideration.

Question 2

I refer to Councillors' Briefing Note No. 23, which concerns a proposed reallocation of Youth Service staffing.

In the fourth paragraph on page 2 of this briefing, members are informed that these considerations will be discussed at Community Area Boards.

In the event that an Area Board rejects these proposals, what would be the constitutional implication?

When an executive decision is finally made regarding the reallocation of staffing, can this Council be assured that the said decision will be issued in an accountable and transparent manner? That is, in the form of a Cabinet decision or a delegated decision by a Cabinet member.

Consequently the decision will constitutionally be subject to the due process of Scrutiny.

<u>Response</u>

With regard to the proposed reallocation of youth work staffing resources, officers are working within the scheme of delegated responsibility according to the constitution of the Council. The use of the Member's briefing notes to inform and consult with Members, Area Boards and other stakeholders is one of the appropriate vehicles with which to carry this out. In the event that an Area Board objects to the proposed allocation for their area, that is a matter to be taken into account in reaching a decision on the appropriate allocation. It is not determinative of the outcome. If strong representations are made from a number of Area Boards then officers may wish to consider referring the matter for Cabinet Member or Cabinet decision.

With regard to the issue of scrutiny any member may ask for decisions taken by officers under delegated powers to be scrutinised by the appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committee and, in fact, a report will be going about this matter to the Autumn meeting of the Children's Services Select committee after Officers attended and spoke to the previous select committee meeting earlier this month.

TO COUNCILLOR LIONEL GRUNDY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES

Question 3

I refer to Members' Briefing No. 23 and the table on page 3 outlining Youth Work Staffing Allocations for Community Areas 2010/2011.

In order that members have a better understanding of the extent and location of the changes involved please can the Council be informed as to the Youth Work Staffing Allocations per Community Area for the current financial year – 2009/2010?

<u>Response</u>

This approach, in terms of identifying hours of delivery, to the reallocation of youth work staffing resources is, in fact, new. Previously the budget position for youth work was spent County-wide. It is therefore not possible to provide a "like for like" analysis. Planned staffing resource for 09/10 is appended to this response but in attempting to address the current inequalities then a simple comparison would not reflect the new approach. For example, it has been proposed, for one of the community areas, that a transfer of a Team Leader's post from a neighbouring community area offers a more cost effective use of the staffing resource as Team Leaders direct delivery is for 12 hours of their time whilst youth development co-ordinators delivery is of 18 hours of their time. Historically a nominal budget was provided and workers on the ground were then expected to deliver as much youth work as they could within that budget. They did this effectively but it was not linked to any assessment of need. It is difficult to compare historical allocations as they again are not reflective of need.

Officers have developed this new approach to ensure the clarity and transparency for all Members. The budget for Youth Work delivery has not decreased and what we have introduced is a fairer allocation of that resource based on a clear formula. The total county budget for 09/10 for full time youth development co-ordinators is £829,527 and for 10/11 is £880,901. The total county budget for 09/10 for assistant youth workers is £448,872 and for 10/11 is £462,287.

Youth Development Centres	Wiltshire Community Area	Local authority expected spend 09/10
Malmesbury	Malmesbury	£30,907
mainteebury	mainteobary	200,001
Purton and Cricklade	Wootton Bassett	£33,947
Wootton Bassett		£31,942
		£65,889
Corsham	Corsham	£25,940
Calne	Calne	£24,892
Chippenham	Chippenham	£14,150
Melksham	Melksham	£24,941
Trowbridge	Trowbridge	£42,758
Bradford -on-Avon	Bradford	£26,906
Warminster	Warminster	£31,537
Westbury	Westbury	£35,382
Mere & Tisbury split	Mere	£15,516
Mobile Youth Centre		£2,036
		£17,552
Mere & Tisbury split	Tisbury	£15,516
Mobile Youth Centre		£2,036
		£17,552
Wilton	Wilton	£17,645
Mobile Youth Centre	Witten	£2,036
		£19,681
Davantan	Deventer	647.000
Downton Mobile Youth Centre	Downton	£17,629 £2,036
		£19,665
		219,000
Salisbury (Grovesnor	Caliahum	000 700
House)	Salisbury	£38,783
Salisbury		£32,105
		£70,888
Durrington	Amesbury	£39,755
Amesbury (sports centre)		£36,113
		£75,868
Pewsey	Pewsey	£41,909

Youth Work Staffing per Community Area for 09/10

Lugershall	Tidworth	£9,049
Tidworth		£31,982
		£41,031
Devizes	Devizes CA	£31,081
Marlborough	Marlborough CA	£33,626
	Total Equivalent budget 09/10	£692,153

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR ERNIE CLARK HILPERTON DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR JOHN BRADY, CABINET MEMBER FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING AND HOUSING

Question 1

The only area of Wiltshire Council to still have council housing is Salisbury. However, I now understand that Wiltshire Council intends to embark on a small-scale council house building project in the Trowbridge area. What is the logic behind this bearing in mind

a) the houses will be nowhere near existing stock,

b) the Trowbridge area is already well served by several RSLs, and

c) the recent Comprehensive Area Assessment found that the WC council house service is not being operated in a cost-effective manner? Would time not be better spent getting our house in order, if you'll excuse the pun?

Response

Cabinet approved a programme of five bids to the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA) to deliver a total of 64 new affordable homes to be developed, owned and managed by the Council on Council-owned sites in July and October 2009. It will now be possible to provide 65 units across these sites. Of these, 22 units will be in Salisbury and the remaining 43 units in the Trowbridge area.

All five bids submitted were successful and Wiltshire Council has secured a total of almost £4.3m of investment by the HCA in addition to funding provided to RSLs for the provision of affordable housing. This pot of funding was specifically for Local Authorities to bid for and was not open to bidding from the RSLs. Consequently, if Wiltshire Council had not taken up this opportunity the funding and the additional 65 affordable homes would not have been secured.

As reported to Cabinet in July 2009, detailed discussions took place prior to bidding with the Head of Housing Management. He welcomes the opportunity to reduce the overall age of the housing stock, recognises the maintenance efficiency benefits of so doing, and has confirmed that he is able to effectively

manage the completed units from a base in Salisbury. Housing management staff currently work on a patch basis and the officers working in the most northern patch would be able to cover the new properties in the Trowbridge area. The current maintenance contract will shortly be up for renewal and the new properties will be included in any new contract agreed.

The CAA has no relevance to the need for more affordable housing in Wiltshire and the points raised by the CAA are being dealt with separately and will not stand in the way of us delivering more affordable homes. It should be noted that the CAA has not 'red flagged' the service and bringing five Councils in to one is a challenge and we need to accept that there will be areas which will need attention as part of that process.

Question 2

In the first consultation document for the Local Development Framework, Hilperton seems to have been 'de-listed' as a large village. How many other villages in Wiltshire have also been deemed to no longer exist by this document?

<u>Response</u>

Although I understand that it might appear from the consultation document that Hilperton has been "de-listed" as a separate village, this is not the case. In the Spatial Strategy background document, which accompanied the Wiltshire 2026 consultation, and on which the consultation document is based, Hilperton is identified as part of a 'grouped settlement' (Appendix B). This list includes 'Tidworth and Ludgershall', as well as the 'Trowbridge and Hilperton (including Staverton Marina) functional grouping'. 'Laverstock and Salisbury' are treated similarly in the South Wiltshire Core Strategy.

Appendix B to the Spatial Strategy background paper states: "If Hilperton were located at some distance from Trowbridge, it would be able to function more independently as a small town or village. However, due to its proximity to Trowbridge, and its close relationship with its neighbour, it cannot be considered in isolation."

There is an eminently practical reason why, for the purposes of the Core Strategy, Hilperton should be treated as a part of the 'Trowbridge/Staverton Marina/Hilperton' functional grouping. Namely, that the Local Development Framework should allocate an appropriate level of development to each settlement in accordance with its needs. By treating Hilperton as part of a "grouped settlement", such additional development can be accommodated at the most suitable location, or locations, for the grouping as a whole. If Hilperton were regarded as separate and distinct from Trowbridge for the purposes of the Core Strategy, additional development would have to be accommodated in or around the village to satisfy, what would then be, a separate need. This 'grouping' of settlements for the purpose of the Core strategy, is just that. It implies no loss of identify for Hilperton, but merely reflects, from a spatial planning perspective, the relationship between settlements either abutting or in close proximity to one another.

TO COUNCILLOR FLEUR DE RHE PHILIPE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PERFORMANCE AND RISK

Question 3

With the advent of one council and SAP, the public were constantly promised that substantial savings would result. However, the proposed WC council tax increase is on a par with many other councils. What has happened to these much-vaunted savings?

<u>Response</u>

Both the One Council and Business Management Programmes (BMP - SAP) have exceeded their business plan cost reduction targets for the first two years. These savings are to be independently verified by our external auditors.

The Executive has channelled these savings into two areas; (1) reductions in the rise of council tax levels - this is the fourth consecutive year where the rate of rise has been smaller than the preceding year, and (2) priority service growth areas as identified by the public during the budget consultation process; highway repair and maintenance, care for older people and children's services.

TO COUNCILLOR FLEUR DE RHE PHILIPE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PERFORMANCE AND RISK

Question 4

A constituent has an interest in the WC proposal to upgrade its benefit database as he assists one of his relatives who is a Trowbridge resident in sheltered accommodation and receiving both housing and council tax benefit. He asks: What measures are being taken to ensure that WC does not end up with an overpriced and unworkable system, like so many modern database systems seem to end up? Is WC proposing to buy a system that has already been purchased by other councils and demonstrated to work properly or is it looking for a brand new development which is certain to have inbuilt and hitherto undiscovered risks? My constituent is rightly concerned that vulnerable people do not end up carrying the can for slack Council IT policy.

<u>Response</u>

The aim of the new revenues and benefits system is both to improve the service to customers and make it more cost effective. When selecting and purchasing the new system great care will be taken to ensure it is the right solution from a reputable provider, and that it provides value for money. The system will be thoroughly tested and implemented with a detailed implementation plan. Other authorities, who have implemented a revenues and benefits system, will be contacted at an early stage in order to learn from their experience.

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR TREVOR CARBIN HOLT AND STAVERTON DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

Question 1

What is the projected annual cost of the production (including officer time), printing and distribution of 'Your Wiltshire Magazine'? Is there a policy on acceptance and cost of advertising which takes into account the need to protect local newspapers from unfair competition? What proportion of recycled paper is used in the production of the magazine?

Response

What is the projected annual cost of the production (inc officer time) printing and distribution of Your Wiltshire magazine?

Your Wiltshire magazine is distributed to all 200,000 households in the county. The first two editions were pilot editions where different approaches to design, print, advertising sales and distribution were tested. Copywriting and design were carried out in-house at a cost of £3,500 for those two editions.

The first two editions cost a total of £71,000 for production, print and distribution. Those two editions were done in partnership with Newsquest Wiltshire (Wiltshire Gazette & Herald, Wiltshire Times and Chippenham News) who secured the advertising and printed the publication. There is no evidence that the residents' magazine will impact on the viability of local newspapers. In fact, these two pilot editions have generated income for Newsquest through the percentage taken by the company of the total advertising income and the print cost paid for by Wiltshire Council.

It is now intended to tender for the magazine and to produce a maximum of 10 editions during a calendar year, omitting August and December. This calendar year it is intended to produce seven editions. The cost of the magazine will be offset against advertising (estimated at £150,000).

Is there a policy on acceptance and cost of advertising which takes into account the need to protect local newspapers from unfair competition?

The cost of the magazine will be offset against advertising and the target is to generate £150,000 a year. The advertising accepted by Newsquest Wiltshire, on the council's behalf, is in line with the council's advertising and sponsorship policy, adopted by Cabinet last autumn. There is currently no intention to run public notices and recruitment advertisements in the residents' magazine, these will continue to be placed in local newspapers and specialist publications.

What proportion of recycled paper is used in the production of the magazine?

The paper used by Newsquest Wiltshire is PEFC accredited (Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification scheme). The printing arrangements of the magazine will be re-assessed as part of the forthcoming tender exercise and it will be our aim to use the most appropriate recycled paper possible.

Question 2

Under the European Energy Performance of Buildings Directive large public buildings have to display energy efficiency certificates. In the initial assessment (Oct 2008) no Wiltshire Council buildings scored the top 'A' grade and the distribution was skewed towards the bad end of the spectrum. The legislation requires the publication of annual updates.

Is more recent data on the performance of Wiltshire Council buildings available?

Will the leader undertake to publish energy efficiency ratings on the council's website so the public can see if energy and money are being wasted or conserved?

<u>Response</u>

The Council has 177 buildings affected by this directive.

Whilst it is correct that none of these buildings were rated as 'A' grade in 2008, 63% were within the remaining highest categories B-D. The figures for 2009 are now available and show a similar picture.

It should be noted that nationally in 2008, over 28,000 Display Energy Certificates were produced, of which only 150 were given an 'A' rating. Wiltshire's current position is not too dissimilar to the average pattern nationally, where the majority of properties are rated within the middle of the spectrum (categories D-E) with relatively low numbers being classified in the top and bottom categories (A & G). The Workplace Transformation Programme will be concentrating on the disposal of the Council's poorest and least energy efficient buildings, and will also be aiming to improve energy efficiency of those buildings that are being retained, e.g., the Programme has an overall target of reducing carbon emissions from office buildings by 40% over the next 3 to 4 years.

Individual authorities are not statutorily required to publish annual updates. The relevant information is automatically collected from all authorities by LANDMARK – an organisation employed by DCLG – and published on their website, which is accessible to the public. However, officers are currently working on the development of a number of energy related web pages for Wiltshire which should be available for inclusion on the Council's website within the next 2/3 months.

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR STEVE OLDRIEVE TROWBRIDGE PAXCROFT DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR LIONEL GRUNDY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES

Question 1

Can you confirm that WC have received a request from Bellefield School, Trowbridge to be exempted from the tendering process for Childs Centre provision.

If so how will this be dealt with by the Council.(who makes a decision on this?).

Response

A letter was sent from the Headteacher, Mr Steve Wigley and Chair of Governors, Mrs Jane Goldstone of Bellefield Primary School, Trowbridge on 2 February and received into County Hall on 4 February. It was addressed to Dr Keith Robinson, asking him to grant exemption from the procurement process for Bellefield Children's Centre, Trowbridge. A reply was sent from Stephanie Denovan, Service Director for Schools and Learning on 11 February which explained the reason for undertaking the procurement process.

As you are aware, the competitive tendering process is a requirement under European Union Procurement Directives and the Public Contracts Regulation 2006 to ensure open and fair competition amongst the member states of the European Union. Public procurement is based on good value for money which, in this case, means looking at cost and quality to meet requirements which should be achieved through competition unless there are compelling reasons to the contrary. These compelling reasons would be extraordinary situations where procurement would be impossible. We do not feel that this applies to any of our Children's Centres. I am sorry that on this occasion I cannot grant exemption from the tendering process for the Children's Centre at Bellefield.

This statement is based on information received from the Corporate Procurement Unit "The EU rules reflect and reinforce the value for money (vfm) focus on the government' procurement policy. This requires that all public procurement must be based on vfm defined as 'the optimum combination of whole-cost and quality to meet the user's requirement' which should be achieved through competition, <u>unless there are compelling reasons</u> to the contrary. (EU procurement guidance)

The contracts for the 30 children's centres all end on 31 March 2011. They are presently managed by a range of different providers. Twenty are managed by voluntary sector organisations, 3 by Wiltshire Council and 7 by school governing bodies. It was agreed by the Wiltshire County Council Cabinet meeting on 23 October 2008 that the management of all the Children's Centres would be opened to competitive tendering using the normal procurement process from April 2011.

Question 2

In previous discussions with Officers I was given an assurance that school's wishing to take part in the tendering process would be given support to make applications. I am not sure this is happening, could you please confirm in detail, what arrangements are in place to assist governing bodies to undertake this exercise.

<u>Response</u>

The question about support through the tendering process was raised at the briefing session for providers and stakeholders on 28 January 2010 at the Corn Exchange, Devizes. As commissioners, the Council cannot provide support as well. An offer of training was given by Ali Perry, representing VAK (Voluntary Action Kennet) who has received funding to enable the voluntary sector to engage with the strategic agenda. At the meeting she welcomed all present providers whether they were from a voluntary organisation or not, to attend a session being held on 16 February from 9.30 am to 4.30 pm. It was organised by Voluntary Action Kennet and Develop, "Getting to Grips with Commissioning and Collaborating for Success". This was free as it was funded by the Children's Workforce Development Council. School governing bodies are included in this as they are Trustees. Two representatives from Bellefield Primary attended this session. There will be a further half day session on 17th March about the commissioning process and Voluntary Action Kennet has offered free help to anyone who requests it. The commissioning session held on 16 February has had excellent feedback

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR MARK PACKARD CHIPPENHAM PEWSHAM DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR FLEUR DE RHE PHILIPE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, PERFORMANCE AND RISK

Question 1

What will be the annual cost to the revenue budget of the proposed £45 million of borrowing for Workplace Transformation ?

Question 2

How much borrowing is planned to meet the £24 million Workplace Transformation expenditure scheduled for 2010-11 and what is the impact of the latter on the revenue budget ?

<u>Response</u>

The annual borrowing and capital repayment cost of the £45 million is \pounds 4 million. This cost has been included in the overall project costs. Additionally, the programme will contribute over £17 million sales back to the capital programme.

The net savings from the project will be $\pounds 2.5$ million in year 3, rising to an ongoing $\pounds 3.5$ million per year from year five. This net figure is after allowing for the cost of borrowing and capital repayment.

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR CHRIS CASWILL CHIPPENHAM MONKTON DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT LEADER OF COUNCIL

Question 1

What was the rationale for locating Community Safety in the Department of Health and Wellbeing, rather than in Neighbourhoods and Planning?

<u>Response</u>

The rationale for the location of Community Safety was related to the consideration of the need to have strategic oversight and co-ordinated operational management of our public protection services, and to strengthen further partnership working. In that context the term 'public protection' is to be interpreted in its broadest sense and thus it encompasses Community Safety and Emergency Planning. The strategic oversight of these areas at Corporate Director level has been designated as falling within the aegis of the Corporate Director (Public Health and Well Being). Service Director responsibility was adjusted accordingly in that Public Protection and Community Safety will be managed by the newly appointed Service Director, Public Protection.

TO COUNCILLOR KEITH HUMPHRIES CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH AND WELLBEING

Question 2

(a) How many professionally trained environmental health officers were employed by the four Wiltshire District Councils at the end of 2009, prior to the change to a unitary council?

<u>Response</u>

Pre local government reorganisation, 32 qualified Environmental Health Officers (including 5 managerial posts) plus 3 vacancies. In addition there were 15 qualified Environmental/Technical officers, 13 Trading Standards Officers and 13 Trading Standards Enforcement Officers.

(b) How many professionally trained environmental health officer posts will be on the Wiltshire Council 2010-11 complement, after the cutting of three posts which is planned in this year's budget proposals (page 44)?

<u>Response</u>

For 2010/11 we have 32 qualified Environmental Health Officers (including 2 managerial posts with previous 3 vacancies filled)

In addition 16 qualified Environmental/Technical officers, 12 Trading Standards Officers and 13 Trading Standards Enforcement officers)

Additional information

The environmental/technical officers are also qualified staff albeit in more specific areas (e.g. food safety, health & safety, environmental protection)

TO COUNCILLOR JOHN NOEKEN CABINET MEMBER FOR RESOURCES

Question 3

- (a) How many posts are to be cut from the Council's Democratic Services complement in the £46000 a year reduction being planned in this year's budget proposals (p.45)?
- (b) Will this involve the loss of a post or posts which are currently filled?
- (c) Does this involve any reduction at all in the support for the Council's Overview and Scrutiny work?
- (d) What services to backbench members are to be reduced, or removed?

<u>Response</u>

There is no reduction in posts within Democratic Services. The text attributed to the savings of £46,000 is incorrect. The majority of these savings are made up of the 4% vacancy factor applied to all staffing budgets.

There will therefore be no reduction in service to any councillors or group of councillors.

TO COUNCILLOR DICK TONGE CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT

Question 4

(a) What has been the cost of introducing the recent parking restrictions in Ivy Road in Chippenham?

<u>Response</u>

Reviews of parking have been undertaken consecutively in a number of towns. The costs have not been attributed to individual restrictions. The work following the Chippenham review is not quite finalised but the costs of the traffic regulation order and installation of the signs and lines for the parking restrictions throughout the town are estimated at £15,000.

(b) What led him and the Council's officers to think that these changes were needed?

<u>Response</u>

It is a commitment that following the introduction of Civil Parking Enforcement, when responsibility for enforcing parking controls passed from the Police to the council, parking problems in the towns would be reviewed.

Requests from residents and others for controls to deal with obstruction or safety problems have been investigated and where appropriate restrictions formulated.

Representation was received from a resident of Ivy Road that parked cars were obstructing access for refuse collection vehicles.

(c) How many residents made representations to the Council that additional parking restrictions were needed, before the initial recommendations were published?

Response

As indicated above a complaint was received about the obstruction of the highway.

The Town Council, former District Council and local Members were consulted on the preliminary scheme for Chippenham prior to the proposals being finalised and advertised for public comment.

(d) Does he accept that the real cost of these changes is now being borne by the majority of residents, who have lost parking spaces for no apparent benefit?

<u>Response</u>

Yellow lines have been placed across vehicular accesses in Ivy Road at the request of residents of Bath Road who indicated, in response to a survey undertaken by officers, that access to rear off-road parking was subject to obstruction by parked vehicles. Also in agreement with the occupiers of commercial premises in Ivy Road, parking has been prohibited at two vehicular access points. The yellow line restrictions will ease access for the refuse collection vehicle.

In respect of lost parking spaces, vehicles parking in the locations where yellow lines have been placed in Ivy Road would obstruct access for off-road parking.

Question 5

(a) When the parking restrictions were introduced in Esmead in Monkton Park in Chippenham, concerns were expressed about the likely displacement of parking by users of the train station into Cocklebury Road, one of the busiest roads in the area. Is he aware that this displacement is occurring daily, causing considerable difficulties to the residents of Cocklebury Road?

Response

In response to the advertising of the new parking restrictions in Chippenham over sixty letters of objection and support were received, together with two petitions. Comments were received that individual restrictions did not go far enough but overwhelmingly there were objections on the grounds that the proposals were excessive. Conscious of the controversial nature and because of the frequent challenges to their justification, new parking restrictions are generally formulated to deal with the problems identified.

Yellow lines were installed in Esmead, as requested by the residents, to deal with obstructions problems. It is difficult to judge where and to what extent displacement parking will take place and to then justify restrictions beyond the location where complaints have been received.

(b) Will he take this chance to restate the commitments made at that time to an early review of these knock-on effects, and give a timetable for that review?

Response

New parking restrictions are monitored to assess the need for new traffic regulation orders to reduce the extent of restrictions or introduce additional controls.

The programme of work for 2010/11 allows for the making of traffic regulation orders to address problems identified from the monitoring of

the restrictions introduced following the review of parking in the towns including Chippenham.

(c) Given the continuing parking problems in Chippenham, will he agree to start the much needed process of an overall review of parking options, including a fresh look at residents' parking schemes?

<u>Response</u>

The Council's term consultants Mouchel have recently been commissioned to undertake a review of the current Wiltshire Local Transport Plan – Parking Plan which dates from 2000. As part of the commission Mouchel will review and recommend a range of parking polices and options, including policy for the introduction of residents parking schemes.

Question 6

(a) Is he aware that in vetoing any further work on engineering solutions for the anti-social behaviour problems in the Bath Road car park in Chippenham, he is blocking the investigation of solutions requested by a large majority of members of the Chippenham and Villages Area Board?

<u>Response</u>

I understand that officers recommended use of mobile CCTV to combat the problem and this was approved for implementation. Investigation of other solutions is a recommendation by the Area Board. If Chippenham Area Board feels that this matter is a priority they can fund the feasibility study in the new financial year. They may also wish to explore whether Chippenham Town Council wishes to work in partnership and match fund this as the Car Park is in the town Wiltshire Council has a duty to all residents when considering allocation of funding and resources.

(b) What evidence did he consider in coming to the conclusions that the situation here is "comparable to many other car parks in Wiltshire", and not a high priority?

Response

The Council does not have comparable and consistent information on anti-social behaviour in its car parks that would allow a like-for-like comparison. However, feedback from the Police Authority and the Crime Reduction Team has been considered.

(c) Which car parks did he use to make this comparison?

<u>Response</u>

Anti-social behaviour has been experienced in Castle Combe Car Park in Chippenham, Methuen and Somerfield Car Parks in Corsham, Multi Storey Car Park in Trowbridge to name a few.

(d) Why did he overlook the commitment made at the Area Board to involve local residents in a working group which would take forward possible solutions?

Response

This commitment was taken by the Area Board and the Cabinet member. I understand that the Head of Crime Reduction will convene a working group meeting with residents. A meeting has been arranged for 10th March at which time residents will be invited to contribute to identifying possible solutions.

TO COUNCILLOR JOHN THOMSON DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITY SERVICES

Question 7

Where in the Area Board Handbook or other published guidelines does it specify that Area Board requests for action by officers must be referred to a Cabinet member to establish that the action can be taken?

Response

Page 31 of the Handbook makes clear that delegation of powers to area boards and officers operates in conjunction with the Scheme of Delegation to Cabinet Members. This is intended to ensure that area boards and officers act within the corporate policies and resources of the Council. In cases where expenditure is proposed by an area board where no specific budget provision exists the officers involved would be expected to seek the views of the Cabinet member or the full Cabinet.

COUNCIL 23 FEBRUARY 2010

COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS

QUESTION FROM COUNCILLOR BRIAN DALTON SALISBURY HARNHAM DIVISION

TO COUNCILLOR DICK TONGE, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Question 1

How much has parking charges in the former Salisbury District Council (SDC) area generated for Wiltshire council (WC) since April 1st 2009? Broken down into on-street and off-street income?

Question 2

How much money has been raised in parking fines in the Salisbury City (boundary area) since April 1st 2009? Broken down into fines from each car park?

Question 3

How much has been raised from yellow lines/obstruction fines, which are more serious and the fine is more severe; again within the City boundary?

Question 4

The same question as 2 & 3 above, but outside the City Boundary and within the former SDC area.

Response

I have obtained the figures requested in these questions and these have been provided to Cllr Dalton. A copy will also be attached to the minutes of this meeting which will be available on the website. I have not attached them to the questions at this stage, purely in view of the number of pages involved to provide the breakdown of figures requested. If in the meantime, any Councillor would like a copy, please contact Democratic Services.